arXiv:1511.00652v1 [math.CV] 2 Nov 2015

Discrete Riemann surfaces based on quadrilateral cellular decompositions

Alexander I. Bobenko[*,1] Felix Günther[†,*,2]

Abstract

Our aim in this paper is to provide a theory of discrete Riemann surfaces based on quadrilateral cellular decompositions of Riemann surface together with their complex structure encoded by complex weights. Previous work, in particular of Mercat, mainly focused on real weights corresponding to quadrilateral cells having orthogonal diagonals. We discuss discrete coverings, discrete exterior calculus, and discrete Abelian integrals. Our presentation includes several new notions and results such as branched coverings of discrete Riemann surfaces, the discrete Riemann-Hurwitz Formula, double poles of discrete one-forms and double values of discrete meromorphic functions that enter the discrete Riemann-Roch Theorem, and a discrete Abel-Jacobi map.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39A12; 30F30.

Keywords: Discrete complex analysis, discrete Riemann surface, quad-graph, Riemann-Hurwitz formula, Riemann-Roch theorem, Abel-Jacobi map.

1 Introduction

Linear theories of discrete complex analysis look back on a long and varied history. We refer here to the survey of Smirnov [28]. Already Kirchhoff's circuit laws describe a discrete harmonicity condition for the potential function whose gradient describes the current flowing through the electric network. Discrete harmonic functions on the square lattice were studied by a number of authors in the 1920s, including Courant, Friedrichs, and Lewy [12]. Two different notions for discrete holomorphicity on the square lattice were suggested by Isaacs [19]. Dynnikov and Novikov studied a notion equivalent to one of them on triangular lattices in [15]; the other was reintroduced by Lelong-Ferrand [17, 22] and Duffin [13]. Duffin also extended the theory to rhombic lattices [14]. Mercat [24], Kenyon [21], and Chelkak and Smirnov [8] resumed the investigation of discrete complex analysis on rhombic lattices or, equivalently, isoradial graphs.

Some two-dimensional discrete models in statistical physics exhibit conformally invariant properties in the thermodynamical limit. Such conformally invariant properties were established by Smirnov for site percolation on a triangular grid [29] and for the random cluster model [30], by Chelkak and Smirnov

[*]Institut für Mathematik, MA 8-3, Technische Universität Berlin, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany.

[†]European Post-Doctoral Institute: Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, 35 route de Chartres, 91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France; Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 20 Clarkson Road, Cambridge CB30EH, United Kingdom; Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics, Boltzmanngasse 9, 1090 Vienna, Austria

[1]E-mail: bobenko@math.tu-berlin.de

[2]E-mail: fguenth@math.tu-berlin.de

for the Ising model [9], and by Kenyon for the dimer model on a square grid (domino tiling) [20]. In all cases, linear theories of discrete analytic functions on regular grids were important. The motivation for linear theories of discrete Riemann surfaces also comes from statistical physics, in particular, the Ising model. Mercat defined a discrete Dirac operator and discrete spin structures on quadrilateral decompositions and he identified criticality in the Ising model with rhombic quad-graphs [24]. In [10], Cimasoni discussed discrete Dirac operators and discrete spin structures on arbitrary weighted graphs isoradially embedded in flat surfaces with conical singularities and how they can be used to give an explicit formula of the partition function of the dimer model on this graph. Also, he studied discrete spinors and their connection to s-holomorphic functions [11] that played an instrumental role in the universality results of Chelkak and Smirnov [9].

Important non-linear discrete theories of complex analysis involve circle packings or, more generally, circle patterns. Stephenson explains the links between circle packings and Riemann surfaces in [31]. Rodin and Sullivan proved that the Riemann mapping of a complex domain to the unit disk can be approximated by circle packings [27]. A similar result for isoradial circle patterns, even with irregular combinatorics, is due to Bücking [7]. In [3] it was shown that discrete holomorphic functions describe infinitesimal deformations of circle patterns.

Mercat extended the linear theory from domains in the complex plane to discrete Riemann surfaces [23, 24, 25, 26]. There, the discrete complex structure on a bipartite cellular decomposition of the surface into quadrilaterals is given by complex numbers ρ_Q with positive real part. More precisely, the discrete complex structure defines discrete holomorphic functions by demanding that

$$f(w_+) - f(w_-) = i\rho_Q \left(f(b_+) - f(b_-)\right)$$

holds on any quadrilateral Q with black vertices b_-, b_+ and white vertices w_-, w_+. Mercat focused on discrete complex structures given by real numbers in [23, 24, 25] and sketched some notions for complex ρ_Q in [26]. He introduced discrete period matrices [23, 25], their convergence to their continuous counterparts was shown in [5]. In [5], also a discrete Riemann-Roch theorem was provided. Graph-theoretic analogues of the classical Riemann-Roch theorem and Abel-Jacobi theory were given by Baker and Norine [1].

A different linear theory for discrete complex analysis on triangulated surfaces using holomorphic cochains was introduced by Wilson [32]. Convergence of period matrices in that discretization to their smooth counterparts was also shown. A nonlinear theory of discrete conformality that discretizes the notion of conformally equivalent metrics was developed in [4].

In [2], a medial graph approach to discrete complex analysis on planar quad-graphs was suggested. Many results such as discrete Cauchy's integral formulae relied on discrete Stokes' Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.7 stating that the discrete exterior derivative is a derivation of the discrete wedge-product. These theorems turn out to be quite useful also in the current setting of discrete Riemann surfaces.

Our treatment of discrete differential forms on bipartite quad-decompositions of Riemann surfaces is close to what Mercat proposed in [23, 24, 25, 26]. However, our version of discrete exterior calculus bases on the medial graph representation and is slightly more general. The goal of this paper is to present a comprehensive theory of discrete Riemann surfaces with complex weights ρ_Q including discrete coverings, discrete exterior calculus, and discrete Abelian integrals. It includes several new notions and results including branched coverings of discrete Riemann surfaces, the discrete Riemann-Hurwitz Formula 3.5, double poles of discrete one-forms and double values of discrete meromorphic functions that enter the discrete Riemann-Roch Theorem 7.8, and a discrete Abel-Jacobi map whose components are discrete holomorphic by Proposition 7.11.

The precise definition of a discrete complex structure will be given in Section 2. Note that not every

discrete Riemann surfaces can be realized as piecewise planar quad-surfaces, but these given by real weights ρ_Q can, see Theorem 2.2. In Section 3, an idea how branch points of higher order can be modeled on discrete Riemann surfaces and a discretization of the Riemann-Hurwitz formula is given.

Since the notion of discrete holomorphic mappings developed in Section 3 is too rigid to go further, we concentrate on discrete meromorphic functions and discrete one-forms. First, we shortly comment how the version of discrete exterior calculus developed in [2] generalizes to discrete Riemann surfaces in Section 4. The results of [2] that are relevant for the sequel are just stated, their proofs can be literally translated from [2]. Sometimes, we require in addition to a discrete complex structure local charts around the vertices of the quad-decomposition. Their existence is ensured by Proposition 2.1. However, the definitions actually do not depend on the choice of charts.

In Section 5, periods of discrete differentials are introduced and the discrete Riemann Bilinear Identity 5.3 is proven more or less in the same way as in the classical theory. Then, discrete harmonic differentials are studied in Section 6. In Section 7, we recover the discrete period matrices of Mercat [23, 25] and the discrete Abelian integrals of the first and the third kind of [5] in the general setup of complex weights. Furthermore, discrete Abelian integrals of the second kind are defined. This leads to a slightly more general version of the discrete Riemann-Roch Theorem 7.8. Finally, discrete Abel-Jacobi maps and analogies to the classical theory are discussed in Section 7.3.

2 Basic definitions

The aim of this section is to introduce discrete Riemann surfaces in Section 2.1, giving piecewise planar quad-surfaces as an example in Section 2.2. There, we also discuss the question if in converse discrete Riemann surfaces can be realized as piecewise planar quad-surfaces. The basic definitions are very similar to the notions in [2], such as the medial graph introduced in Section 2.3.

2.1 Discrete Riemann surfaces

Definition. Let Σ be a connected oriented surface without boundary, for short *surface*. A *bipartite quad-decomposition* Λ *of* Σ is a strongly regular and locally finite cellular decomposition of Σ such that all its 2-cells are quadrilaterals and its 1-skeleton is bipartite. Strong regularity requires that two different faces are either disjoint or share a vertex or share an edge; local finiteness requires that a compact subset of Σ contains only finitely many quadrilaterals. If $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}$ and Λ is embedded in the complex plane such that all edges are straight line segments, then Λ is called a *planar quad-graph*.

Let $V(\Lambda)$ denote the set of 0-cells (*vertices*), $E(\Lambda)$ the set of 1-cells (*edges*), and $F(\Lambda)$ the set of 2-cells (*faces* or *quadrilaterals*) of Λ.

In what follows, let Λ be a bipartite quad-decomposition of the surface Σ.

Definition. We fix one decomposition of $V(\Lambda)$ into two independent sets and refer to the vertices of this decomposition as *black* and *white* vertices, respectively. Let Γ and Γ^* be the graphs defined on the black and white vertices where vv' is an edge of Γ (or Γ^*) if and only if its two black (or white) endpoints are vertices of a single face of Λ.

Remark. Clearly, Γ and Γ^* are dual to each other. The assumption of strong regularity guarantees that any edge of Γ or Γ^* is the diagonal of exactly one quadrilateral of Λ.

Definition. $\Diamond := \Lambda^*$ is the dual graph of Λ.

Definition. If a vertex $v \in V(\Lambda)$ is a vertex of a quadrilateral $Q \in F(\Lambda) \cong V(\Diamond)$, then we write $Q \sim v$ or $v \sim Q$ and say that v and Q are *incident* to each other.

All faces of Λ inherit an orientation from Σ. We may assume that the orientation on Σ is chosen in such a way that the image of any orientation-preserving embedding of a two-cell $Q \in F(\Lambda)$ into the complex plane is positively oriented.

Definition. Let $Q \in F(\Lambda)$ with vertices b_-, w_-, b_+, w_+ in counterclockwise order, where $b_\pm \in V(\Gamma)$ and $w_\pm \in V(\Gamma^*)$. An orientation-preserving embedding z_Q of Q to a rectilinear quadrilateral in $\mathbb{C}$ without self-intersections such that the image points of b_-, w_-, b_+, w_+ are vertices of the quadrilateral is called a *chart* of Q. Two such charts are called *compatible* if the oriented diagonals of the image quadrilaterals are in the same complex ratio

$$\rho_Q := -i\frac{w_+ - w_-}{b_+ - b_-}.$$

Moreover, let $\varphi_Q := \arccos\left(\mathrm{Re}\left(i\rho_Q/|\rho_Q|\right)\right)$ be the angle under which the diagonal lines of Q intersect.

Note that $0 < \varphi_Q < \pi$. Figure 1 shows part of a planar quad-graph together with the notations we use for a single face Q and the *star of a vertex* v, i.e., the set of all faces incident to v.



Figure 1: Bipartite quad-decomposition (solid) with notations and medial graph (dotted)

In addition, we denote by $\Diamond_0$ always a connected subgraph of $\Diamond$ and by $V(\Diamond_0) \subseteq V(\Diamond)$ the corresponding subset of faces of Λ. Through our identification $V(\Diamond) \cong F(\Lambda)$, we can call the elements of $V(\Diamond)$ quadrilaterals and identify them with the corresponding faces of Λ.

In particular, an equivalence class of charts z_Q of a single quadrilateral Q is uniquely characterized by the complex number ρ_Q with a positive real part. An assignment of positive real numbers ρ_Q to all faces Q of Λ was the definition of a discrete complex structure Mercat used in [24]. In his subsequent work [26], he proposed a generalization to complex ρ_Q with positive real part. Mercat's notion of a discrete Riemann surface is equivalent to the definition we give:

Definition. A *discrete Riemann surface* is a triple (Σ, Λ, z) of a bipartite quad-decomposition Λ of a surface Σ together with an *atlas* z, i.e., a collection of charts z_Q of all faces $Q \in F(\Lambda)$ that are compatible to each other. An assignment of complex numbers ρ_Q with positive real part to the faces Q of the quad-decomposition is said to be a *discrete complex structure*.

(Σ, Λ, z) is said to be *compact* if the surface Σ is compact.

Note that real ρ_Q correspond to quadrilaterals Q whose diagonals are orthogonal to each other. They arise naturally if one considers a Delaunay triangulation of a polyhedral surface Σ and places the vertices of the dual at the circumcenters of the triangles. Discrete Riemann surfaces based on this structure were investigated in [5]. There, the above definition of a discrete Riemann surface was suggested as a generalization.

Remark. Compared to the classical theory, charts around vertices of Λ are missing so far and were not considered by previous authors. In order to obtain definitions that can be immediately motivated from the classical theory, we will introduce such charts in our setting. However, we do not include them in the definition of a discrete Riemann surface. As it turns out, there always exist appropriate charts around vertices and besides discrete derivatives of functions on $V(\Diamond)$ all of our notions do not depend on these charts.

Definition. Let $v \in V(\Lambda)$. An orientation-preserving embedding z_v of the star of v to the star of a vertex of a planar quad-graph Λ' that maps vertices of Λ to vertices of Λ' is said to be a *chart* as well. z_v is said to be *compatible* with the discrete complex structure of the discrete Riemann surface (Σ, Λ, z) if for any quadrilateral $Q \sim v$ the induced chart of z_v on Q is compatible to z_Q.

Remark. When we later speak about particular charts z_v, we always refer to charts compatible with the discrete complex structure.

Proposition 2.1. *Let Λ be a bipartite quad-decomposition of a surface Σ, and let the numbers ρ_Q, $Q \in V(\Diamond)$, define a discrete complex structure. Then, there exists an atlas z such that the image quadrilaterals of charts z_Q are parallelograms with the oriented ratio of diagonals equal to $i\rho_Q$ and such that for any $v \in V(\Lambda)$ there exists a chart z_v compatible with the discrete complex structure.*

Proof. The construction of the charts z_Q is simple: In the complex plane, the quadrilateral with black vertices ± 1 and white vertices $\pm i\rho_Q$ is a parallelogram with the desired oriented ratio of diagonals.

In contrast, the construction of charts z_v is more delicate. See Figure 2 for a visualization. Let us consider the star of a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$. If v is white, then just replace ρ_Q by $1/\rho_Q$ and φ_Q by $\pi - \varphi_Q$ in the following. Let $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k$ be the quadrilaterals incident to v.

We choose $0 < \theta < \pi$ in such a way that $\theta < \varphi_{Q_1} < \pi - \theta$. Let $\alpha(Q_1) := \pi - \theta$, and define $\alpha(Q_s) := (\pi + \theta)/(k-1)$ for the other s. Then, all $\alpha(Q_s)$ sum up to 2π.

First, we construct the images of Q_s, $s \neq 1$, starting with an auxiliary construction. As in Figure 1, let v, v'_{s-1}, v_s, v'_s be the vertices of Q_s in counterclockwise order. Then, we map v'_{s-1} to -1 and v'_s to 1. All points x that enclose an oriented angle $\alpha(Q_s) > 0$ with ± 1 lie on a circular arc above the real axis. Since the real part of ρ_{Q_s} is positive, the ray $ti\rho_{Q_s}$, $t > 0$, intersects this arc in exactly one point. If we choose the intersection point x_v as image of v and $x_{v_s} := x_v - 2i\rho_{Q_s}$ as the image of v_s,then we get a quadrilateral in $\mathbb{C}$ that has the desired oriented ratio of diagonals $i\rho_{Q_s}$. The quadrilateral is convex if and only if $x_v - 2i\rho_{Q_s}$ has nonpositive imaginary part.

Now, we translate all the image quadrilaterals such that v is always mapped to zero. By construction, the image of Q_s is contained in a cone of angle $\alpha(Q_s)$. Thus, we can rotate and scale the images of Q_s, $s \neq 1$, in such a way that they do not overlap and that the images of edges vv'_s coincide. Since all $\alpha(Q_s)$ sum up to 2π, there is still a cone of angle $\alpha(Q_1) = \pi - \theta$ empty.

Let us identify the vertices v, v'_k, and v'_1 with their corresponding images and choose q on the line segment $v'_k v'_1$. If q approaches the vertex v'_k, then $\angle vqv'_k \to \pi - \angle v'_1 v'_k v$, and if q approaches v'_1, then $\angle vqv'_k \to \angle vv'_1 v'_k$. Since

$$\angle vv'_1 v'_k < \theta < \varphi_{Q_1} < \pi - \theta < \pi - \angle v'_1 v'_k v,$$

there is a point q on the line segment such that $\angle vqv'_k = \varphi_Q$. If we take the image of v_1 on the ray tq, $t > 0$, such that its distance to the origin is $|v'_k - v'_1|/|\rho_{Q_1}|$, then we obtain a quadrilateral with the oriented ratio of diagonals $i\rho_{Q_1}$. □



(a) Image of Q_s, $s \neq 1$ (b) Construction of the image of Q_1

Figure 2: Visualization of the proof of Proposition 2.1

Remark. Note that dependent on the discrete Riemann surface it could be impossible to find charts around vertex stars whose images consist of convex quadrilaterals only. Indeed, the interior angle at a black vertex v of a convex quadrilateral with purely imaginary oriented ratio of diagonals $i\rho$ has to be at least $\pi/2 - \operatorname{arccot}(|\rho|)$. In particular, the interior angles at v of five or more incident convex quadrilaterals Q_s such that $\rho_{Q_s} > \pi$ sum up to more than 2π.

2.2 Piecewise planar quad-surfaces and discrete Riemann surfaces

A polyhedral surface Σ without boundary consists of Euclidean polygons glued together along common edges. Clearly, there are a lot of possibilities to make it a discrete Riemann surface. An essentially unique way to make a closed polyhedral surface a discrete Riemann surface was suggested in [5]: The vertices of the (essentially unique) Delaunay triangulation are the black vertices and the centers of the circumcenters of the triangles are the white vertices. It was shown that the corresponding quadrilaterals possess isometric embeddings into the complex plane and form together a discrete Riemann surface. Note that all quadrilaterals are kites, corresponding to a discrete complex structure with real numbers ρ_Q. The corresponding cellular decomposition was called *Delaunay-Voronoi quadrangulation*.

Let us suppose that the polyhedral surface Σ is a piecewise planar quad-surface. Then, Σ becomes a discrete Riemann surface in a canonical way. In the classical theory, any polyhedral surface possesses a canonical complex structure and any compact Riemann surface can be recovered from some polyhedral surface [6]. In the discrete setting, the situation is different.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (Σ, Λ, z) be a compact discrete Riemann surface.*

(i) If all numbers ρ_Q of the discrete complex structure are real, then there exists a polyhedral surface consisting of rhombi such that its induced discrete complex structure is the one of (Σ, Λ, z).

(ii) If all numbers ρ_Q of the discrete complex structure are real but one is not, then there exists no piecewise planar quad-surface with the combinatorics of Λ such that its induced discrete complex structure coincides with the one of (Σ, Λ, z).

Proof. (i) The diagonals of a rhombus intersect orthogonally. Clearly, the oriented ratio of diagonals of a rhombus Q is $i\rho_Q = i\tan(\alpha/2)$, where α denotes the interior angle at a black vertex. Choosing $\alpha = 2\arctan(\rho_Q)$ gives a rhombus with the desired oriented ratio of diagonals. If all the side lengths of the rhombi are one, then we can glue them together to obtain the desired closed polyhedral surface.

(ii) For a chart z_Q of $Q \in V(\Diamond)$, consider the image $z_Q(Q)$. We denote the lengths of its edges by a, b, c, d in counterclockwise order, starting with an edge going from a black to a white vertex, and the lengths of its diagonals by e, f. Then, cosine theorem implies

$$a^2 - b^2 + c^2 - d^2 = -2\cos(\varphi_Q)ef.$$

In particular, $\varphi_Q = \pi/2$ if and only if $a^2 - b^2 + c^2 - d^2 = 0$.

Suppose there is a piecewise planar quad-surface with the combinatorics of Λ such that its induced discrete complex structure is the one of (Σ, Λ, z). Let us orient all edges from the white to its black endpoint. For each quadrilateral Q we consider its alternating sum of edge lengths such that the sign in front of an edge that is oriented in counterclockwise direction is positive and negative otherwise. If we sum these sums up for all $Q \in V(\Diamond)$, then each edge length appears twice with different signs, so the sum is zero. On the other hand, for all but one Q ρ_Q is real and the remaining one is not, so the sum is nonzero, contradiction. Thus, there cannot exist such a piecewise planar quad-surface. □

2.3 Medial graph

Definition. The *medial graph* X of the bipartite quad-decomposition Λ of the surface Σ is defined as the following cellular decomposition of Σ. Its vertex set is given by all the midpoints of the edges of Λ, and two vertices x, x' are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges belong to the same face Q of Λ and have a vertex $v \in V(\Lambda)$ in common. We denote this edge (or 1-cell) by $[Q, v]$. A *face* (or 2-cell) F_v of X corresponding to $v \in V(\Lambda)$ shall have the edges of Λ incident to v as vertices, and a *face* (or 2-cell) F_Q of X corresponding to $Q \in F(\Lambda) \cong V(\Diamond)$ shall have the four edges of Λ belonging to Q as vertices. In this sense, the set $F(X)$ of *faces* of X is defined and in bijection with $V(\Lambda) \cup V(\Diamond)$.

A priori, X is just a combinatorial datum, giving a cellular decomposition of Σ. But charts z_v and z_Q induce embeddings of the faces F_v and F_Q corresponding to $v \in V(\Lambda)$ and $Q \in V(\Diamond)$, respectively, into the complex plane (in Figure 1, the vertices of F_v and F_Q are colored gray). For this, we identify vertices of X with the midpoints of the images of corresponding edges and map the edges of X to straight line segments. Due to Varignon's theorem, $z_Q(F_Q)$ is a parallelogram, even if $z_Q(Q)$ is not. Also, the image of the oriented edge $e = [Q, v]$ of X connecting the edges vv'_- with vv'_+ of Λ is just half the image of the diagonal: $2z_Q(e) = z_Q(v'_+) - z_Q(v'_-)$. In this sense, e is *parallel* to the edge $v'_-v'_+$ of Γ or Γ^*.

Definition. We call an edge of X *black* or *white* if it is parallel to an edge of Γ or Γ^*, respectively.

Definition. For a connected subgraph $\Diamond_0 \subseteq \Diamond$, we denote by Λ_0 the subgraph of Λ whose vertices and edges are exactly the vertices and edges of the quadrilaterals in $V(\Diamond_0)$. An *interior* vertex $v \in V(\Lambda_0)$ is a vertex such that all incident faces in Λ belong to $V(\Diamond_0)$. All other vertices of Λ_0 are said to be *boundary vertices*. Let Γ_0 and Γ_0^* denote the subgraphs of Γ and of Γ^* whose edges are exactly the diagonals of quadrilaterals in $V(\Diamond_0)$.

$\Diamond_0 \subseteq \Diamond$ is said to *form a simply-connected closed region* if the union of all quadrilaterals in $V(\Diamond_0)$ form together a simply-connected closed region in Σ.

Furthermore, we denote by $X_0 \subseteq X$ the connected subgraph of X consisting of all edges $[Q, v]$ where $Q \in V(\diamondsuit_0)$ and v is a vertex of Q. For a finite collection F of faces of X_0, ∂F denotes the union of all counterclockwise oriented boundaries of faces in F, where oriented edges in opposite directions cancel each other out.

3 Discrete holomorphic mappings

Throughout this section, let (Σ, Λ, z) and (Σ', Λ', z') be discrete Riemann surfaces.

3.1 Discrete holomorphicity

The following notion of discrete holomorphic functions is essentially due to Mercat [24, 25, 26].

Definition. Let $f : V(\Lambda_0) \to \mathbb{C}$. f is said to be *discrete holomorphic* if the *discrete Cauchy-Riemann equation*

$$\frac{f(b_+) - f(b_-)}{z_Q(b_+) - z_Q(b_-)} = \frac{f(w_+) - f(w_-)}{z_Q(w_+) - z_Q(w_-)}$$

is satisfied for all quadrilaterals $Q \in V(\diamondsuit_0)$ with vertices b_-, w_-, b_+, w_+ in counterclockwise order, starting with a black vertex. f is *discrete antiholomorphic* if $\bar{f}$ is discrete holomorphic.

Note that the discrete Cauchy-Riemann equation in the chart z_Q is equivalent to the corresponding equation in a compatible chart z'_Q, i.e., it depends on the discrete complex structure only.

Definition. A mapping $f : V(\Lambda) \to V(\Lambda')$ is said to be *discrete holomorphic* if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $f(V(\Gamma)) \subseteq V(\Gamma')$ and $f(V(\Gamma^*)) \subseteq V(\Gamma'^*)$;

(ii) for any quadrilateral $Q \in F(\Lambda)$, there exists a face $Q' \in F(\Lambda')$ such that $f(v) \sim Q'$ for all $v \sim Q$;

(iii) for any quadrilateral $Q \in F(\Lambda)$, the function $z'_{Q'} \circ f : V(Q) \to \mathbb{C}$ is discrete holomorphic.

The first condition asserts that f respects the bipartite structures of the quad-decompositions. The second one discretizes continuity and guarantees that the third holomorphicity condition makes sense.

Remark. Note that a discrete holomorphic mapping f may be *biconstant* (constant at black and constant at white vertices) at some quadrilaterals, but not at all, whereas in the smooth case, any holomorphic mapping that is locally constant somewhere is constant on connected components. We resolve this contradiction by interpreting quadrilaterals where f is biconstant as branch points.

3.2 Simple properties and branch points

The following lemma discretizes the classical fact that nonconstant holomorphic mappings are open.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : V(\Lambda) \to V(\Lambda')$ be a discrete holomorphic mapping. Then, for any $v \in V(\Lambda)$ there exists a nonnegative integer k such that the image of the star of v goes k times along the star of $f(v)$ (preserving the orientation).*

Proof. By definition of discrete holomorphicity, the image of the star of v is contained in the star of $f(v)$ and the orientation is preserved. If f is biconstant around the star of v, then the statement is true with $k = 0$. So assume that f is not biconstant there. Then, at least one quadrilateral in the star is mapped to a complete quadrilateral in the star of $f(v)$. The next quadrilateral is either mapped to an edge if f is biconstant at this quadrilateral or to the neighboring quadrilateral. Since this has to close up in the end, the image goes $k > 0$ times along the star of $f(v)$. □

Definition. If the number k in the lemma above is zero, then we say that v is a *vanishing point*. Otherwise, v is a *regular point*. If $k > 1$, then we say that v is a *branch point* of multiplicity k. In any case, we define $b_f(v) = k - 1$ as the *branch number* of f at v.

If f is biconstant at $Q \in F(\Lambda)$, then we say that Q is a *branch point* of multiplicity two with branch number $b_f(Q) = 1$. Otherwise, Q is not a branch point and $b_f(Q) = 0$.

Remark. Note that even if f is not globally biconstant, it may have vanishing points. The reason for saying that quadrilaterals where f is biconstant are branch points of multiplicity two is that if we go along the vertices b_-, w_-, b_+, w_+ of Q, then its images are $f(b_-), f(w_-), f(b_-), f(w_-)$. However, in combination with vanishing points, this definition of branching might be misleading. It is more appropriate to consider a finite subgraph $\diamondsuit_0 \subseteq \diamondsuit$ that forms a simply-connected closed region consisting of F quadrilaterals, I interior points V_{int} (all of them vanishing points), and $B = 2(F-I+1)$ boundary points (all of them regular points) as one single branch point of multiplicity $F - I + 1$. Indeed, black and white points alternate at the boundary and they are always mapped to the same black or white image point, respectively. In terms of branch numbers this interpretation is fine since

$$F - I = \sum_{Q \in V(\diamondsuit_0)} b_f(Q) + \sum_{v \in V_{\text{int}}} b_f(v).$$

Corollary 3.2. *Let $f : V(\Lambda) \to V(\Lambda')$ be discrete holomorphic and not biconstant. Then, f is surjective. If in addition Σ is compact, then Σ' is compact as well.*

Proof. Assume that f is not surjective. Then, there is $v' \in V(\Lambda')$ not contained in the image. Say v' is black. Take $v'_0 \in f(\Gamma)$ combinatorially closest to v' and v'_0. Since all black neighbors of a black vanishing point of f have the same image and f is not biconstant, there is a regular point v_0 in the preimage of v'_0. By Lemma 3.1, the image of the star of v_0 equals the star of v'_0. Thus, there is an image point combinatorially nearer to v' as v'_0, contradiction.

If Σ is compact, then Λ is finite. So Λ' is finite as well and Σ' is compact. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let Σ be compact and Σ' be homeomorphic to a plane. Then, any discrete holomorphic mapping $f : V(\Lambda) \to V(\Lambda')$ is biconstant.*

Note that we will prove the more general discretization of Liouville's theorem that any discrete holomorphic function f on a compact discrete Riemann surface is biconstant later in Theorem 4.12.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f : V(\Lambda) \to V(\Lambda')$ be a discrete holomorphic mapping. Then, there exists a number $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that for all $v' \in V(\Lambda')$:*

$$N = \sum_{v \in f^{-1}(v')} (b_f(v) + 1).$$

Furthermore, for any $Q' \in F(\Lambda')$, N equals the number of $Q \in F(\Lambda)$ such that f maps the vertices of Q bijectively to the vertices of Q'.

Proof. If f is biconstant, then all $b_f(v)+1$ are zero and $N=0$ fulfills the requirements.

Assume now that f is not biconstant. By Corollary 3.2, f is surjective. Let $Q' \in V(\Lambda')$ and let v' be a vertex of Q'. We want to count the number N of $Q \in F(\Lambda)$ such that f maps the vertices of Q bijectively to the vertices of Q'. Let $v \in f^{-1}(v')$. By Lemma 3.1, exactly $b_f(v)+1$ quadrilaterals incident to v are mapped bijectively to Q'. Conversely, any $Q \in F(\Lambda)$ such that f maps the vertices of Q bijectively to the vertices of Q' has exactly one vertex in the preimage of $f^{-1}(v')$. Therefore,

$$N = \sum_{v \in f^{-1}(v')} (b_f(v)+1)\,.$$

The same formula holds true if we replace Q' by another face incident to v' or v' by some other vertex incident to Q'. Thus, N does not depend on the choice of the face Q' and the incident vertex v'. □

Definition. If $N>0$, then f is called an *N-sheeted discrete holomorphic covering*.

Remark. If Σ is compact, then $N<\infty$. The characterization of N as the number of preimage quadrilaterals nicely explains why N is called the number of sheets of f. However, a quadrilateral of Λ corresponds to one of the N sheets (and not to just two single points) only if f is not biconstant there.

Finally, we state and prove a *discrete Riemann-Hurwitz formula*.

Theorem 3.5. *Let Σ be compact and $f : V(\Lambda) \to V(\Lambda')$ be an N-sheeted discrete holomorphic covering of the compact discrete Riemann surface Σ' of genus g'. Then, the genus g of Σ is equal to*

$$g = N(g'-1)+1+\frac{b}{2},$$

where b is the total branching number of f:

$$b = \sum_{v \in V(\Lambda)} b_f(v) + \sum_{Q \in V(\Diamond)} b_f(Q).$$

Proof. Since we consider quad-decompositions, the number of edges of Λ equals twice the number of faces. Thus, the Euler characteristic $2-2g$ of Σ is given by $|V(\Lambda)|-|V(\Diamond)|$. By Theorem 3.4,

$$|V(\Lambda)| = N|V(\Lambda')| - \sum_{v \in V(\Lambda)} b_f(v).$$

If we count the number of faces of Λ, then we have $N|V(\Diamond')|$ quadrilaterals that are mapped to a complete quadrilateral of Λ' by Theorem 3.4 and $\sum_{Q \in V(\Diamond)} b_f(Q)$ faces are mapped to an edge of Λ'. Hence,

$$|V(\Diamond)| = N|V(\Diamond')| + \sum_{Q \in V(\Diamond)} b_f(Q).$$

$$2-2g = |V(\Lambda)|-|V(\Diamond)| = N|V(\Lambda')| - \sum_{v \in V(\Lambda)} b_f(v) - N|V(\Diamond')| - \sum_{Q \in V(\Diamond)} b_f(Q) = N(2-2g') - b$$

now implies the final result. □

4 Discrete exterior calculus

In this section, we consider a discrete Riemann surface (Σ, Λ, z) and adapt the fundamental notions and properties of discrete complex analysis discussed in [2] to discrete Riemann surfaces. All omitted proofs can be literally translated from [2] to the more general setting of discrete Riemann surfaces.

Note that our treatment of discrete exterior calculus is similar to Mercat's approach in [24, 25, 26]. However, in Section 4.1 we suggest a different notation of multiplication of functions with discrete one-forms, leading to a discrete exterior derivative that is defined on a larger class of discrete one-forms in Section 4.2. It coincides with Mercat's discrete exterior derivative in the case of discrete one-forms of type $\diamondsuit$ that he considers. In contrast, our definitions mimic the coordinate representation of the smooth theory. Still, our definitions of a discrete wedge product in Section 4.3 and a discrete Hodge star in Section 4.4 are equivalent to Mercat's in [26].

4.1 Discrete differential forms

The most important type of functions is $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$, but in local charts complex functions defined on subsets of $V(\diamondsuit)$ such as $\partial_\Lambda f$ occur as well.

Definition. A *discrete one-form* or *discrete differential* ω is a complex function on the oriented edges of the medial graph X_0 such that $\omega(-e) = \omega(e)$ for any oriented edge e of X_0. Here, $-e$ denotes the edge e with opposite orientation.

The evaluation of ω at an oriented edge e of X_0 is denoted by $\int_e \omega$. For a directed path P in X_0 consisting of oriented edges $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$, the *discrete integral* along P is defined as $\int_P \omega = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{e_k} \omega$. For closed paths P, we write $\oint_P \omega$ instead.

Remark. If we speak about discrete one-forms or discrete differentials and do not specify their domain, then we will always assume that they are defined on oriented edges of the whole medial graph X.

Of particular interest are discrete one-forms that actually come from discrete one-forms on Γ and Γ^*.

Definition. A discrete one-form ω defined on the oriented edges of X_0 is of *type* $\diamondsuit$ if for any $Q \in V(\diamondsuit_0)$ and its incident black (or white) vertices v, v' the equality $\omega([Q, v]) = -\omega([Q, v'])$ holds. Here, the latter two edges inherit their orientation from ∂F_Q.

Definition. A *discrete two-form* Ω is a complex function on $F(X_0)$.

The evaluation of Ω at a face F of X_0 is denoted by $\iint_F \Omega$. If S is a set of faces $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n$ of X_0, then the *discrete integral* of Ω over S is defined as $\iint_S \Omega = \sum_{k=1}^n \iint_{F_k} \Omega$.

Ω is of *type* Λ if Ω vanishes on all faces of X_0 corresponding to $V(\diamondsuit_0)$ and of *type* $\diamondsuit$ if Ω vanishes on all faces of X corresponding to $V(\Lambda_0)$.

Remark. Discrete two-forms of type Λ or type $\diamondsuit$ correspond to functions on $V(\Lambda_0)$ or $V(\diamondsuit_0)$ by the discrete Hodge star that will be defined later in Section 4.4.

Definition. Let for short z be a chart z_Q of a quadrilateral $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$ or a chart z_v of the star of a vertex $v \in V(\Lambda)$. On its domain, the discrete one-forms dz and $d\bar{z}$ are defined in such a way that $\int_e dz = z(e)$ and $\int_e d\bar{z} = \overline{z(e)}$ hold for any oriented edge e of X. The discrete two-forms Ω^z_Λ and $\Omega^z_\diamondsuit$ are zero on faces of X corresponding to vertices of $\diamondsuit$ or Λ, respectively, and defined by

$$\iint_F \Omega^z_\Lambda = -4i\mathrm{area}(z(F)) \text{ and } \iint_F \Omega^z_\diamondsuit = -4i\mathrm{area}(z(F))$$

on faces F corresponding to vertices of Λ or $\diamondsuit$, respectively. Here, area$(z(F))$ denotes the Euclidean area of the parallelogram $z(F)$.

Remark. Our main objects either live on the quad-decomposition Λ or on its dual $\diamondsuit$. Thus, we have to deal with two different cellular decompositions at the same time. The medial graph has the crucial property that its faces are in one-to-one correspondence to vertices of $\Lambda = \diamondsuit^*$ and of $\diamondsuit = \Lambda^*$. Furthermore, the Euclidean area of the Varignon parallelogram inside a quadrilateral $z(Q)$ is just half of its area. In an abstract sense, a corresponding statement is true for the cells of X corresponding to vertices of Λ. This statement can be made precise in the setting of planar parallelogram-graphs, see [2]. For this reason, the additional factor of two is necessary to make Ω^z_Λ and $\Omega^z_\diamondsuit$ the straightforward discretizations of $dz \wedge d\bar{z}$. As it turns out in Section 4.3, $\Omega^z_\diamondsuit$ is indeed the discrete wedge product of dz and $d\bar{z}$.

Definition. Let $f : V(\Lambda_0) \to \mathbb{C}$, $h : V(\diamondsuit_0) \to \mathbb{C}$, ω a discrete one-form defined on the oriented edges of X_0, and Ω_1, Ω_2 discrete two-forms defined on $F(X_0)$ that are of type Λ and $\diamondsuit$, respectively. For any oriented edge $e = [Q, v]$ and any faces F_v, F_Q of X_0 corresponding to $v \in V(\Lambda_0)$ or $Q \in V(\diamondsuit_0)$, we define the products $f\omega$, $h\omega$, $f\Omega_1$, and $h\Omega_2$ by

$$\int_e f\omega := f(v)\int_e \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \iint_{F_v} f\Omega_1 := f(v)\iint_{F_v}\Omega_1, \quad \iint_{F_Q} f\Omega_1 := 0;$$
$$\int_e h\omega := h(Q)\int_e \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \iint_{F_v} h\Omega_2 := 0, \quad \iint_{F_Q} h\Omega_2 := h(Q)\iint_{F_Q}\Omega_2.$$

Remark. Note that a discrete one-form of type $\diamondsuit$ can be locally represented as $pdz_Q + qd\bar{z}_Q$ on all edges of a face of X corresponding to $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{C}$. Similarly, we could define discrete one-forms of type Λ. However, this notion would depend on the chart and would be not well-defined on a discrete Riemann surface.

4.2 Discrete derivatives and Stokes' theorem

Definition. Let $Q \in V(\diamondsuit) \cong F(\Lambda)$ and f be a complex function on the vertices of Q. In addition, let $v \in V(\Lambda)$ and h be a complex function defined on all quadrilaterals $Q_s \sim v$. Let F_Q and F_v be the faces of X corresponding to Q and v. Then, the *discrete derivatives* $\partial_\Lambda f$, $\bar{\partial}_\Lambda f$ in the chart z_Q and $\partial_\diamondsuit h$, $\bar{\partial}_\diamondsuit h$ in the chart z_v are defined by

$$\partial_\Lambda f(Q) := \frac{1}{\iint_{F_Q}\Omega^{z_Q}_\diamondsuit}\oint_{\partial F_Q} f d\bar{z}_Q, \qquad \bar{\partial}_\Lambda f(Q) := \frac{-1}{\iint_{F_Q}\Omega^{z_Q}_\diamondsuit}\oint_{\partial F_Q} f dz_Q;$$
$$\partial_\diamondsuit h(v) := \frac{1}{\iint_{F_v}\Omega^{z_v}_\Lambda}\oint_{\partial F_v} h d\bar{z}_v, \qquad \bar{\partial}_\diamondsuit h(v) := \frac{-1}{\iint_{F_v}\Omega^{z_v}_\Lambda}\oint_{\partial F_v} h dz_v.$$

h is said to be *discrete holomorphic* in the chart z_v if $\bar{\partial}_\diamondsuit h(v) = 0$.

As in the classical theory, the discrete derivatives depend on the chosen chart. We do not include these dependences in the notions, but it will be clear from the context which chart is used.

Remark. Whereas discrete holomorphicity for functions $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$ is well-defined and equivalent to $\bar{\partial}_\Lambda f(Q) = 0$ in any chart z_Q (see [2]), discrete holomorphicity of functions on $V(\diamondsuit)$ is not consistently defined by the discrete complex structure. Indeed, if $\rho_Q = 1$ for all faces Q incident to $v \in V(\Lambda)$, then any cyclic polygon can be the image of the vertices adjacent to v under a chart z_v compatible with the discrete complex structure, but the equation $\bar{\partial}_\diamondsuit h(v) = 0$ depends on the choice of the cyclic polygon.

Definition. Let $f : V(\Lambda_0) \to \mathbb{C}$ and $h : V(\diamondsuit_0) \to \mathbb{C}$. We define the *discrete exterior derivatives* df and dh on the edges of X_0 in a chart z as follows:

$$df := \partial_\Lambda f dz + \bar{\partial}_\Lambda f d\bar{z}, \quad dh := \partial_\diamondsuit h dz + \bar{\partial}_\diamondsuit h d\bar{z}.$$

Let ω be a discrete one-form defined on all boundary edges of a face F_v of the medial graph X corresponding to $v \in V(\Lambda)$ or on all four boundary edges of a face F_Q of X corresponding to $Q \in F(\Lambda)$. In a chart z around F_v or F_Q, respectively, we write $\omega = pdz + qd\bar{z}$ with functions p, q defined on faces incident to v or vertices incident to Q, respectively. The *discrete exterior derivative* $d\omega$ is given by

$$d\omega|_{F_v} := \left(\partial_\diamondsuit q - \bar{\partial}_\diamondsuit p\right) \Omega^z_\Lambda,$$
$$d\omega|_{F_Q} := \left(\partial_\Lambda q - \bar{\partial}_\Lambda p\right) \Omega^z_\diamondsuit.$$

The representation of ω as $pdz+qd\bar{z}$ (p, q defined on edges of X) we have used above may be nonunique. However, $d\omega$ is well-defined and does not depend on the chosen chart by *discrete Stokes' theorem*.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : V(\Lambda_0) \to \mathbb{C}$ and ω be a discrete one-form defined on oriented edges of X_0. Then, for any directed edge e of X_0 starting in the midpoint of the edge vv'_- and ending in the midpoint of the edge vv'_+ of Λ_0 and for any finite collection of faces F of X_0 with counterclockwise oriented boundary ∂F we have:*

$$\int_e df = \frac{f(v'_+) - f(v'_-)}{2} = \frac{f(v) + f(v'_+)}{2} - \frac{f(v) + f(v'_-)}{2};$$
$$\iint_F d\omega = \oint_{\partial F} \omega.$$

Definition. Let $\diamondsuit_0 \subseteq \diamondsuit$ form a simply-connected closed region. A discrete one-form ω defined on oriented edges of X_0 is said to be *closed* if $d\omega \equiv 0$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$. Then, $ddf = 0$.*

Corollary 4.3. *Let f be a function defined on the vertices of all quadrilaterals incident to $v \in V(\Lambda)$. Then, $\partial_\diamondsuit \bar{\partial}_\Lambda f(v) = \bar{\partial}_\diamondsuit \partial_\Lambda f(v)$ in a chart z_v of the star of v. In particular, $\partial_\Lambda f$ is discrete holomorphic in z_v if f is discrete holomorphic.*

Corollary 4.4. *Let $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$. Then, f is discrete holomorphic at all faces incident to $v \in V(\Lambda)$ if and only if in a chart z_v around v, $df = pdz_v$ for some function p defined on the faces incident to v. In this case, p is discrete holomorphic in z_v.*

Definition. A discrete differential ω of type $\diamondsuit$ is *discrete holomorphic* if $d\omega = 0$ and if in any chart z_Q of a quadrilateral $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$, $\omega = pdz_Q$. ω is *discrete antiholomorphic* if $\bar{\omega}$ is discrete holomorphic.

Remark. It suffices to check this condition for just one chart of Q, as follows from Lemma 4.9 below. In particular, discrete holomorphicity of discrete one-forms depends on the discrete complex structure only. If ω is discrete holomorphic, then we can write $\omega = pdz_v$ in a chart z_v around $v \in V(\Lambda)$, where p is a function defined on the faces incident to v. In this case, p is discrete holomorphic in z_v. Conversely, the closeness condition can be replaced by requiring that p is discrete holomorphic.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\diamondsuit_0 \subseteq \diamondsuit$ form a simply-connected closed region and let ω be a closed discrete differential of type $\diamondsuit$ defined on oriented edges of X_0. Then, there is a function $f := \int \omega : V(\Lambda_0) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega = df$. f is unique up to two additive constants on Γ_0 and Γ_0^*. If ω is discrete holomorphic, then f is as well.*

4.3 Discrete wedge product

Let ω be a discrete one-form of type $\diamondsuit$. Then, for any chart z_Q of a quadrilateral $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$ there is a unique representation $\omega|_{\partial F_Q} = p dz_Q + q d\bar{z}_Q$ with complex numbers p and q. To calculate them, one can first construct a function f on the vertices of Q such that $\omega|_{\partial F_Q} = df$ and then take $p = \partial_\Lambda f$ and $q = \bar{\partial}_\Lambda f$, see [2].

Definition. Let ω, ω' be two discrete one-forms of type $\diamondsuit$ defined on the oriented edges of X_0. Then, the *discrete wedge product* $\omega \wedge \omega'$ is defined as the discrete two-form of type $\diamondsuit$ that equals

$$\left(pq' - qp'\right) \Omega_\diamondsuit^{z_Q}$$

on a face F_Q corresponding to $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$. Here, z_Q is a chart of Q and $\omega|_{\partial F_Q} = p dz_Q + q d\bar{z}_Q$ and $\omega'|_{\partial F_Q} = p' dz_Q + q' d\bar{z}_Q$.

The following proposition connects our definition of a discrete wedge product with Mercat's in [24, 25, 26] and also shows that the discrete wedge product does not depend on the choice of the chart.

Proposition 4.6. *Let F_Q be the face of X corresponding to $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$, let z_Q be a chart, and let e, e^* be the oriented edges of X parallel to the black and white diagonal of Q, respectively, such that $\operatorname{Im}\left(z_Q(e^*)/z_Q(e)\right) > 0$. Then,*

$$\iint_{F_Q} \omega \wedge \omega' = 2 \int_e \omega \int_{e^*} \omega' - 2 \int_{e^*} \omega \int_e \omega'.$$

Finally, the discrete exterior derivative is a derivation for the wedge product:

Theorem 4.7. *Let $f : V(\Lambda_0) \to \mathbb{C}$ and ω be a discrete one-form of type $\diamondsuit$ defined on the oriented edges of X_0. Then, the following identity holds on $F(X_0)$:*

$$d(f\omega) = df \wedge \omega + f d\omega.$$

4.4 Discrete Hodge star and discrete Laplacian

Definition. Let Ω_Σ be a fixed nowhere vanishing discrete two-form, $f : F(\Lambda_0) \to \mathbb{C}$, $h : V(\diamondsuit_0) \to \mathbb{C}$, ω a discrete one-form of type $\diamondsuit$ defined on oriented edges of X_0, and Ω a discrete two-form either of type Λ or $\diamondsuit$. In a chart z_Q of $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$, we write $\omega|_{\partial F_Q} = p dz_Q + q d\bar{z}_Q$. Then, the *discrete Hodge star* is defined by

$$\star f := f\Omega_\Sigma; \quad \star h := h\Omega_\Sigma; \quad \star\omega|_{\partial F_Q} := -ip dz_Q + iq d\bar{z}_Q; \quad \star\Omega := \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_\Sigma}.$$

Remark. In the planar case, the choice of $\Omega_\Sigma = i/2\Omega_\diamondsuit^z$ on faces of X corresponding to faces of the quad-graph and $\Omega_\Sigma = i/2\Omega_\Lambda^z$ on faces corresponding to vertices is the most natural one. Throughout the remainder of this chapter, Ω_Σ is a fixed positive real two-form on (Σ, Λ, z).

In the classical setup, there is a canonical nonvanishing two-form coming from a complete Riemannian metric of constant curvature. An interesting question is whether there exists some canonical two-form for discrete Riemann surfaces as we defined them. Note that the nonlinear theory developed in [4] contains a uniformization of discrete Riemann surfaces and discrete metrics with constant curvature.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$ with chart z_Q, and let e, e^* be oriented edges of X parallel to the black and white diagonal of Q, respectively, such that $\operatorname{Im}(e^*/e) > 0$. If ω is a discrete one-form of type $\diamondsuit$ defined on the oriented edges of the boundary of the face of X corresponding to Q, then*

$$\int_e \star\omega = \cot(\varphi_Q) \int_e \omega - \frac{|z_Q(e)|}{|z_Q(e^*)| \sin(\varphi_Q)} \int_{e^*} \omega,$$
$$\int_{e^*} \star\omega = \frac{|z_Q(e^*)|}{|z_Q(e)| \sin(\varphi_Q)} \int_e \omega - \cot(\varphi_Q) \int_{e^*} \omega.$$

Proposition 4.8 shows not only that our definition of a discrete Hodge star on discrete one-forms does not depend on the chosen chart, but also that it coincides with Mercat's definition given in [26].

Clearly, $\star^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$ on discrete differentials of type $\diamondsuit$ and $\star^2 = \mathrm{Id}$ on complex functions and discrete two-forms. The next lemma shows that discrete holomorphic differentials are well-defined.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$ and F_Q the face of X corresponding to Q. A discrete differential ω of type $\diamondsuit$ defined on the oriented edges of F_Q is of the form $\omega = p\,dz_Q$ (or $\omega = q\,d\bar{z}_Q$) in any chart z_Q of $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$ if and only if $\star\omega = -i\omega$ (or $\star\omega = i\omega$).*

Proof. Let us take a (unique) representation $\omega = p\,dz_Q + q\,d\bar{z}_Q$ in a coordinate chart z_Q of $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$. By definition, $\star\omega = -i\omega$ is equivalent to $q = 0$. Analogously, $\star\omega = i\omega$ is equivalent to $p = 0$. □

Definition. If ω and ω' are both discrete differentials of type $\diamondsuit$ defined on oriented edges of X, then we define their *discrete scalar product*

$$\langle \omega, \omega' \rangle := \iint_{F(X)} \omega \wedge \star\bar{\omega}',$$

whenever the right hand side converges absolutely. In a similar way, the discrete scalar product between two discrete two-forms or two complex functions on $V(\Lambda)$ is defined.

A calculation in local coordinates shows that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is indeed a Hermitian scalar product.

Definition. $L_2(\Sigma, \Lambda, z)$ is the Hilbert space of *square integrable* discrete differentials with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Proposition 4.10. *$\delta := -\star\, d \star$ is the formal adjoint of the discrete exterior derivative d:*

Let $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$, let ω be a discrete one-form of type $\diamondsuit$, and let $\Omega : F(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a discrete two-form of type Λ. If all of them are compactly supported, then

$$\langle df, \omega \rangle = \langle f, \delta\omega \rangle \text{ and } \langle d\omega, \Omega \rangle = \langle \omega, \delta\Omega \rangle.$$

Definition. The *discrete Laplacian* on functions $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$, discrete one-forms of type $\diamondsuit$, or discrete two-forms on $F(X)$ of type Λ is defined as the linear operator

$$\triangle := -\delta d - d\delta = \star d \star d + d \star d \star .$$

$f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be *discrete harmonic* at $v \in V(\Lambda)$ if $\triangle f(v) = 0$.

Remark. Note that straight from the definition and Corollary 4.3, it follows for $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$ that $\triangle f(v)$ is proportional to $4\partial_\diamondsuit \bar{\partial}_\Lambda f(v) = 4\bar{\partial}_\diamondsuit \partial_\Lambda f(v)$ in the chart z_v around $v \in V(\Lambda)$. In particular, discrete harmonicity of functions does not depend on the choice of Ω_Σ, and discrete holomorphic functions are discrete harmonic.

Lemma 4.11. *Let (Σ, Λ, z) be a compact discrete Riemann surface. Then, the discrete Dirichlet energy functional $E_\diamondsuit$ defined by $E_\diamondsuit(f) := \langle df, df\rangle$ for functions $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex nonnegative quadratic functional in the vector space of real functions on $V(\Lambda)$. Furthermore,*

$$-\frac{\partial E_\diamondsuit}{\partial f(v)}(f) = 2\triangle f(v) \iint_{F_v} \Omega_\Sigma$$

for any $v \in V(\Lambda)$. In particular, extremal points of this functional are functions that are discrete harmonic everywhere.

As a conclusion of this section, we state and prove *discrete Liouville's theorem.*

Theorem 4.12. *Let (Σ, Λ, z) be a compact discrete Riemann surface. Then, any discrete harmonic function $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$ is biconstant. In particular, any discrete holomorphic function is biconstant.*

Proof. Since δ is the formal adjoint of d by Proposition 4.10,

$$\langle df, df\rangle = \langle f, \delta df\rangle = \langle f, \triangle f\rangle = 0.$$

Now, $\langle df, df\rangle \geq 0$ and equality holds only if $df = 0$, i.e., if f is biconstant. □

5 Periods of discrete differentials

In this section, we define the (discrete) periods of a closed discrete differential of type $\diamondsuit$ on a compact discrete Riemann surface (Σ, Λ, z) of genus g in Section 5.1 and state and prove a discrete Riemann bilinear identity in Section 5.2. Although we aim in being as close as possible to the smooth case in our presentation, the bipartite structure of Λ prevents us from doing so. We struggle with the same problem of white and black periods as Mercat did for discrete Riemann surfaces whose discrete complex structure is described by real numbers ρ_Q in [25]. The reason for this is that a discrete differential of type $\diamondsuit$ corresponds to a pair of discrete differentials on each of Γ and Γ^*.

Mercat constructed out of a canonical homology basis on Λ certain canonical homology bases on Γ and Γ^*. By solving a discrete Neumann problem, he then proved the existence of dual cohomology bases on Γ and Γ^*. The discrete Riemann bilinear identity for the elements of the bases (and by linearity for general closed discrete differentials) was a direct consequence of the construction.

On the contrary, the proof given in [5] followed the ideas of the smooth case, but the relation to discrete wedge products was not that immediate. We will give a full proof of the general discrete Riemann bilinear identity that follows the lines of the proof of the classical Riemann bilinear identity, using almost the same notation. The main difference to [5] is that we use a different refinement of the cellular decomposition to profit of a cellular decomposition of the canonical polygon with $4g$ vertices. The appearance of black and white periods indicates the analogy to Mercat's approach in [25].

5.1 Universal cover and periods

Let $p : \tilde{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ denote the universal covering of the compact surface Σ. p gives rise to a bipartite quad-decomposition $\tilde{\Lambda}$ with medial graph $\tilde{X}$ and a covering $p : \tilde{\Lambda} \to \Lambda$. Now, $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{\Lambda}, p \circ z)$ is a discrete Riemann surface as well and $p : V(\tilde{\Lambda}) \to V(\Lambda)$ is a discrete holomorphic mapping.

We fix a base vertex $\tilde{v}_0 \in V(\tilde{\Lambda})$. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_g$ be smooth loops on Σ with base point $v_0 := p(\tilde{v}_0)$ such that these loops cut out a fundamental $4g$-gon F_g. It is well known that such loops

exist; the order of loops at the boundary of F_g is $\alpha_k, \beta_k, \alpha_k^{-1}, \beta_k^{-1}$, k going in order from 1 to g. Their homology classes $a_1, \ldots, a_g, b_1, \ldots, b_g$ form a canonical homology basis of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$.

Clearly, there are homotopies between $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_g$ to closed paths $\alpha_1', \ldots, \alpha_g', \beta_1', \ldots, \beta_g'$ on X, all of the latter having the same fixed base point $x_0 \in V(X)$.

Definition. Let P be an oriented cycle on X. P induces closed paths on Γ and Γ^* that we denote by $B(P)$ and $W(P)$ in the following way: For an oriented edge $[Q, v]$ of P, we add the black (or white) vertex v to $B(P)$ (or $W(P)$) and the corresponding white (or black) diagonal of $Q \in F(\Lambda)$ to $W(P)$ (or $B(P)$), see Figure 3. The orientation of the diagonal is induced by the orientation of $[Q, v]$. Clearly, $B(P)$ and $W(P)$ are cycles on Γ and Γ^* that are homotopic to P. We denote the one-chains on X consisting of all the black or white edges corresponding to $B(P)$ and $W(P)$ by BP and WP, respectively.



Figure 3: Cycles P on X, $B(P)$ on Γ, and $W(P)$ on Γ^*

Definition. Let ω be a closed discrete differential of type $\Diamond$. For $1 \le k \le g$, we define its *a_k-periods* $A_k := \oint_{\alpha_k'} \omega$ and *b_k-periods* $B_k := \oint_{\beta_k'} \omega$ and its

$$\textit{black } a_k\textit{-periods } A_k^B := 2\int_{B\alpha_k'} \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \textit{black } b_k\textit{-periods } B_k^B := 2\int_{B\beta_k'} \omega;$$
$$\textit{white } a_k\textit{-periods } A_k^W := 2\int_{W\alpha_k'} \omega \text{ and } \textit{white } b_k\textit{-periods } B_k^W := 2\int_{W\beta_k'} \omega.$$

Remark. The reason for the factor of two is that to compute the black or white periods, we actually integrate ω on Γ or Γ^* and not on X. Clearly, $2A_k = A_k^B + A_k^W$ and $2B_k = B_k^B + B_k^W$.

Lemma 5.1. *The periods of the closed discrete differential ω of type $\Diamond$ depend only on the homology classes a_k and b_k, i.e., if α_k'', β_k'', $1 \le k \le g$, are loops on X that are in the homology classes a_k and b_k, respectively, then*

$$\int_{a_k} \omega := A_k = \oint_{\alpha_k''} \omega, \int_{Ba_k} \omega := A_k^B = 2\int_{B\alpha_k''} \omega, \int_{Wa_k} \omega := A_k^W = 2\int_{W\alpha_k''} \omega;$$
$$\int_{b_k} \omega := B_k = \oint_{\beta_k''} \omega, \int_{Bb_k} \omega := B_k^B = 2\int_{B\beta_k''} \omega, \int_{Wb_k} \omega := B_k^W = 2\int_{W\beta_k''} \omega.$$

Proof. That a- and b-periods of a closed discrete one-form depend on the homology class only follows from discrete Stokes' Theorem 4.1. For the other four cases, we use that ω induces discrete differentials on Γ and Γ^* in the obvious way since it is of type $\Diamond$. These differentials are closed in the sense that the

integral along the black (or white) cycle around any white (or black) vertex of Λ vanishes. Since the paths $B\alpha_k'$ and $B\alpha_k''$ on Γ are both in the homology class a_k, $\int_{B\alpha_k'} \omega = \int_{B\alpha_k''} \omega$. The same reasoning applies for the other cases. □

5.2 Discrete Riemann bilinear identity

Again, let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_g$ be smooth loops on the compact surface Σ with base point $v_0 \in V(\Lambda)$ such that these loops cut out a fundamental $4g$-gon F_g. For the following two definitions, we follow [5],but give a different proof for the discrete Riemann bilinear identity that [5].

Definition. For a loop α on Σ, let d_α denote the induced deck transformations on $\tilde{\Sigma}$, $\tilde{\Lambda}$, and $\tilde{X}$.

Definition. $f : V(\tilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is *multi-valued* with black periods $A_1^B, A_2^B, \ldots, A_g^B,\ B_1^B, B_2^B, \ldots, B_g^B \in \mathbb{C}$ and white periods $A_1^W, A_2^W, \ldots, A_g^W,\ B_1^W, B_2^W, \ldots, B_g^W \in \mathbb{C}$ if

$$f(d_{\alpha_k} b) = f(b) + A_k^B, \quad f(d_{\alpha_k} w) = f(w) + A_k^W, \quad f(d_{\beta_k} b) = f(b) + B_k^B, \quad f(d_{\beta_k} w) = f(w) + B_k^W$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq g$, each black vertex $b \in V(\tilde{\Gamma})$, and each white vertex $w \in V(\tilde{\Gamma}^*)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $f : V(\tilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathbb{C}$ be multi-valued. Then, df defines a closed discrete one-form of type $\diamondsuit$ on the oriented edges of X and df has the same black and white periods as f. Conversely, if ω is a closed discrete differential of type $\diamondsuit$, then there is a multi-valued function $f : V(\tilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that df projects to ω. If ω is discrete holomorphic, then f is as well.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{e}$ be an oriented edge of $\tilde{X}$. Discrete Stokes' Theorem 4.1 implies $df(d_\alpha(\tilde{e})) = df(\tilde{e})$ for any loop α on Σ. In particular, df is well-defined on the oriented edges of X. Closeness follows from $ddf = 0$ by Proposition 4.2. Clearly, black and white periods of f and df are the same by definition of these periods.

Let $\tilde{\omega}$ be the lift of ω to $\tilde{X}$. Since the universal cover is simply-connected, there exists a discrete primitive $f := \int \tilde{\omega} : V(\tilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathbb{C}$ that is discrete holomorphic if f is by Proposition 4.5. □

Remark. As a consequence, white and black periods of a closed discrete one-form of type $\diamondsuit$ are not determined by its periods.

We are now ready to prove the following *discrete Riemann bilinear identity.*

Theorem 5.3. *Let ω and ω' be closed discrete differentials of type $\diamondsuit$. Let their black and white periods be given by $A_k^B, B_k^B, A_k^W, B_k^W$ and ${A'}_k^B, {B'}_k^B, {A'}_k^W, {B'}_k^W$, respectively, $k = 1, \ldots, g$. Then,*

$$\iint_{F(X)} \omega \wedge \omega' = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(A_k^B {B'}_k^W - B_k^B {A'}_k^W \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(A_k^W {B'}_k^B - B_k^W {A'}_k^B \right).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, there is a multi-valued function $f : V(\tilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $df = \omega$ with the same black and white periods as ω has. Let F_v and F_Q be faces of X corresponding to $v \in V(\Lambda)$ and $Q \in V(\diamondsuit) \cong F(\Lambda)$. Consider any lifts of the star of v and of Q to $\tilde{\Lambda}$, and denote by $\tilde{F}_v$ and $\tilde{F}_Q$ the corresponding lifts of F_v and F_Q to $F(\tilde{X})$. By Theorem 4.7, $\omega \wedge \omega' = d(f\omega')$, lifting ω, ω' to $\tilde{X}$ and using that ω' is closed. So by discrete Stokes' Theorem 4.1,

$$\iint_F \omega \wedge \omega' = \oint_{\partial \tilde{F}} f\omega',$$

where F is either F_v or F_Q. Note that the the right hand side is independent of the chosen lift $\tilde{F}$ because ω' is closed. It follows that the statement above remains true when we integrate over $F(X)$ and the counterclockwise oriented boundary of any collection $\tilde{F}(X)$ of lifts of each a face of X to $\tilde{X}$.

It remains to compute $\int_{\partial \tilde{F}(X)} f\omega'$. If $g = 0$, then $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma$ and f is a complex function on $V(\Lambda)$. Furthermore, the boundary of $F(X)$ is empty, so $\iint_{F(X)} \omega \wedge \omega' = 0$ as claimed. In what follows, let $g > 0$.

By definition, if $e = [\tilde{Q}, \tilde{v}]$ is an edge of $\tilde{X}$ ($F(\tilde{\Lambda}) \ni \tilde{Q} \sim \tilde{v} \in V(\tilde{\Lambda})$), then $\int_e f\omega' = f(\tilde{v}) \int_e \omega'$. So we may consider f as a function on $E(\tilde{X})$ defined by $f([\tilde{Q}, \tilde{v}]) := f(\tilde{Q})$. Then, $f : E(\tilde{X}) \to \mathbb{C}$ fulfills for any k:

$$f(d_{\alpha_k}[\tilde{Q}, \tilde{v}]) = f([\tilde{Q}, \tilde{v}]) + A_k^B \text{ and } f(d_{\beta_k}[\tilde{Q}, \tilde{v}]) = f([\tilde{Q}, \tilde{v}]) + B_k^B \text{ if } \tilde{v} \in V(\tilde{\Gamma}),$$
$$f(d_{\alpha_k}[\tilde{Q}, \tilde{v}]) = f([\tilde{Q}, \tilde{v}]) + A_k^W \text{ and } f(d_{\beta_k}[\tilde{Q}, \tilde{v}]) = f([\tilde{Q}, \tilde{v}]) + B_k^W \text{ if } \tilde{v} \in V(\tilde{\Gamma}^*).$$

In this sense, f is multi-valued on $E(\tilde{X})$ with black (white) periods defined on white (black) edges.

Since f and ω' are now determined by topological data, we may forget the discrete complex structure of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and can consider ω' and $f\omega'$ as functions on the oriented edges. Their evaluation on an edge e will still be denoted by $\int_e$. Let $\tilde{\Sigma}'$ be the polyhedral surface that is given by $\tilde{X}$ requiring that all faces are regular polygons of side length one. Similarly, Σ' is constructed. Now, p induces a covering $p : \tilde{\Sigma}' \to \Sigma'$ in a natural way requiring that p on each face is an isometry.

The homeomorphic images of the paths α_k, β_k are loops on Σ' with the base point being somewhere inside the face F_{v_0}. Let us choose piecewise smooth paths on Σ' with base point being the center of F_{v_0} homotopic to the previous loops such that the new paths (that will be denoted the same) still cut out a fundamental $4g$-gon.

For $v \in V(\Lambda)$, consider the same subdivision of all the lifts of the regular polygon corresponding to F_v into smaller polygonal cells induced by straight lines. All new edges get the same color as the original edges of F_v had, i.e., the opposite color to the one of v. We extend f on the new edges by $f(v)$. Obviously, the new function is still multi-valued with the same periods. We define the one-form ω' on the new edges consecutively by inserting straight lines. Each time an existing oriented edge e is subdivided into two equally oriented parts e' and e'', we define $\int_{e'} \omega' = \int_{e''} \omega' := \int_e \omega'/2$. On segments of the inserted line, we define ω' by the condition that it should remain closed. Defining a black (or white) c-period of ω' on the subdivided cellular decomposition as twice the discrete integral over all black (or white) edges of a closed path with homology c, we see that the black and white a- and b-periods of ω' are the same as before.

Now, let F_Q be the square corresponding to $Q \in F(\Lambda)$. We consider a subdivision of F_Q (and all its lifts) into smaller polygonal cells induced by straight lines parallel to the edges of the square, requiring in addition that all subdivision points on the edges of F_Q coming from the previous subdivisions of F_v, $v \sim Q$, are part of it. A new edge is black (or white) if it is parallel to an original black (or white) edge of X. Any new edge e' is of length $0 < l \leq 1$ and e' is parallel to an edge e of F_Q. Since ω' is of type $\diamondsuit$, it coincides on parallel edges, so we can define $\int_{e'} \omega' := l \int_e \omega'$. By construction, the new discrete one-form ω' is closed, and its black and white periods do not change. f is extended in such a way that if the new edge e' is parallel to the edges $[Q, v]$ and $[Q, v']$, having distance $0 \leq l \leq 1$ to $[Q, v]$ and distance $1 - l$ to $[Q, v']$, then $f(e') := (1 - l)f([Q, v]) + lf([Q, v'])$. f is still multi-valued with the same periods.

If the subdivisions of faces F_v and F_Q are fine enough, then we find cycles homotopic to α_k, β_k on the edges of the resulting cellular decomposition X' on Σ in such a way that they still cut out a fundamental polygon with $4g$ vertices. Let us denote these loops by α_k, β_k as well.

By construction, $\oint_{\partial F} f\omega'$ equals the sum of all discrete contour integrals of $f\omega'$ around faces of the subdivision of the face F of X. It follows that $\int_{\partial \tilde{F}(X)} f\omega' = \int_{\partial \tilde{F}(X')} f\omega'$ for any collection $\tilde{F}(X')$ of lifts of faces of X', using that ω' is closed. Let us choose $\tilde{F}(X')$ in such a way that it builds a fundamental $4g$-gon whose boundary consists of lifts $\tilde{\alpha}_k, \tilde{\beta}_k$ of α_k, β_k and lifts $\tilde{\alpha}_k^{-1}, \tilde{\beta}_k^{-1}$ of its reverses. Since interior edges of the polygon are traversed twice in both directions, they do not contribute to the discrete integral and we get

$$\iint_{F(X)} \omega \wedge \omega' = \int_{\partial \tilde{F}(X')} f\omega' = \sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(\int_{\tilde{\alpha}_k} f\omega' + \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_k^{-1}} f\omega' \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(\int_{\tilde{\beta}_k} f\omega' + \int_{\tilde{\beta}_k^{-1}} f\omega' \right).$$

Let e be an edge of $\tilde{\alpha}_k$ and e' the corresponding edge of $\tilde{\alpha}_k^{-1}$. Then, $d_{\beta_k} e = -e'$. Hence, ω' has opposite signs on e and e', and f differs by B_k^W on black edges and by B_k^B on white edges. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_k} f\omega' + \int_{\tilde{\alpha}_k^{-1}} f\omega' &= \int_{B\tilde{\alpha}_k} \left(f\omega' - (f + B_k^W)\omega' \right) + \int_{W\tilde{\alpha}_k} \left(f\omega' - (f + B_k^B)\omega' \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} B_k^W {A'_k}^B - \frac{1}{2} B_k^B {A'_k}^W. \end{aligned}$$

If e is an edge of $\tilde{\beta}_k$ and e' the corresponding edge of $\tilde{\beta}_k^{-1}$, then $d_{\alpha_k^{-1}} e = -e'$. Thus,

$$\int_{\tilde{\beta}_k} f\omega' + \int_{\tilde{\beta}_k^{-1}} f\omega' = \frac{1}{2} A_k^W {B'_k}^B + \frac{1}{2} A_k^B {B'_k}^W.$$

Inserting the last two equations into the previous one gives the desired result. □

Remark. Note that as in the classical case, the formula is true for any canonical homology basis $\{a_1, \ldots, a_g, b_1, \ldots, b_g\}$, not necessarily the one we started with. The proof is essentially the same [18].

Corollary 5.4. *Let ω and ω' be closed discrete differentials of type $\Diamond$. Let their periods are given by A_k, B_k and A'_k, B'_k, respectively, and assume that the black a-periods of ω, ω' coincide with corresponding white a-periods. Then,*

$$\iint_{F(X)} \omega \wedge \omega' = \sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(A_k B'_k - B_k A'_k \right).$$

6 Discrete harmonic and discrete holomorphic differentials

Throughout this section that aims in investigating discrete harmonic and discrete holomorphic differentials, let (Σ, Λ, z) be a discrete Riemann surface. In Section 6.1, we state the discrete Hodge decomposition. Afterwards, we restrict to compact Σ and calculate the dimension of the space of discrete holomorphic differentials in Section 6.2. Discrete period matrices are introduced in Section 6.3. For Sections 6.2 and 6.2, we therefore assume that Σ is compact and of genus g. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_g, b_1, \ldots, b_g\}$ be a canonical basis of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ in this case.

6.1 Discrete Hodge decomposition

Definition. A discrete differential ω of type $\Diamond$ is *discrete harmonic* if it is closed and *co-closed*, i.e., $d\omega = 0$ and $d \star \omega = 0$ (or, equivalently, $\delta\omega = 0$).

Lemma 6.1. *Let ω be a discrete differential of type $\Diamond$.*

(i) ω is discrete harmonic if and only if for any $\Diamond_0 \subseteq \Diamond$ forming a simply-connected closed region, there exists a discrete harmonic function $f : V(\Lambda_0) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega = df$.

(ii) Let Σ be compact. Then, ω is discrete harmonic if and only if $\triangle\omega = 0$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that ω is discrete harmonic. Then, it is closed, so since $\Diamond_0$ forms a simply-connected closed region, Proposition 4.5 gives the existence of $f : V(\Lambda_0) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega = df$ on oriented edges of X_0. Now, $\triangle f = \delta df = \delta\omega = 0$, so f is discrete harmonic. Conversely, if $\omega = df$ locally, then $d\omega = ddf = 0$ by Proposition 4.2 (that is also locally true, see [2]) and $\delta\omega = \delta df = \triangle f = 0$ by definition.

(ii) If ω is discrete harmonic, then $d\omega = \delta\omega = 0$ implies $\triangle\omega = 0$. Conversely, let $\triangle\omega = 0$. Using that δ is the formal adjoint of d on compact discrete Riemann surfaces by Proposition 4.10,

$$0 = \langle \triangle\omega, \omega\rangle = \langle d\omega, d\omega\rangle + \langle \delta\omega, \delta\omega\rangle.$$

The right hand side vanishes only for $d\omega = \delta\omega = 0$, so ω is closed and co-closed. □

The proof of the following *discrete Hodge decomposition* follows the lines of the proof in the smooth theory given in the book [16] of Farkas and Kra.

Theorem 6.2. *Let E, E^* denote the sets of exact and co-exact square integrable discrete differentials of type $\Diamond$, i.e., E and E^* consist of all $\omega = df$ and $\omega = \star df$, respectively, where $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\langle\omega, \omega\rangle < \infty$. Let H be the set of square integrable discrete harmonic differentials. Then, we have an orthogonal decomposition $L_2(\Sigma, \Lambda, z) = E \oplus E^* \oplus H$.*

Proof. Clearly, E and E^* are the closures of all exact and co-exact square integrable discrete differentials of type $\Diamond$ of compact support. Let $E^\perp$ and $E^{*\perp}$ denote the orthogonal complements of E and E^* in $L_2(\Sigma, \Lambda, z)$. Then, $\omega \in E^\perp$ if and only if $\langle\omega, df\rangle = 0$ for all $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$ of compact support. To compute the scalar product, we may restrict ω to a finite neighborhood of the support of f, so Proposition 4.10 implies $0 = \langle\omega, df\rangle = \langle\delta\omega, f\rangle$. It follows that $\delta\omega = 0$. Thus, $E^\perp$ consists of all co-closed discrete differentials of type $\Diamond$. Similarly, $E^{*\perp}$ is the space of all closed discrete differentials of type $\Diamond$. By Proposition 4.2, any (co-)exact discrete differential of type $\Diamond$ is (co-)closed, so we get an orthogonal decomposition $L_2(\Sigma, \Lambda, z) = E \oplus E^* \oplus H$, $H = E^\perp \cap E^{*\perp}$ being the set of all discrete harmonic differentials. □

6.2 Existence of certain discrete differentials

First, we want to show that to any set of black and white periods there is a discrete harmonic differential with these periods. In [25], Mercat proved this statement by referring to a (discrete) Neumann problem. The proof given in [5] used the finite-dimensional Fredholm alternative. Here, we give a proof based on the (discrete) Dirichlet energy.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $A_k^B, B_k^B, A_k^W, B_k^W$, $1 \le k \le g$, be $4g$ given complex numbers. Then, there exists a unique discrete harmonic differential ω with these black and white periods.*

Proof. Since periods are linear in the discrete differentials, it suffices to prove the statement for real periods. Let us consider the vector space of all multi-valued functions $f : V(\tilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathbb{R}$ having the

given black and white periods. For such a function f, df is well-defined on X, as is the discrete Dirichlet energy $E_\diamondsuit(f) = \langle df, df\rangle$. By Lemma 4.11, the critical points of this functional are discrete harmonic functions, noting that $\triangle f$ is a function on $V(\Lambda)$. Since the discrete Dirichlet energy is convex, quadratic, and nonnegative, a minimum $f : V(\tilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathbb{R}$ has to exist. By Lemma 6.1 (i), $\omega := df$ is discrete harmonic and has the required periods by Lemma 5.2.

Suppose that ω and ω' are two discrete harmonic differentials with the same black and white periods. Since $\omega - \omega'$ is closed, there is a multi-valued function $f : V(\tilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega - \omega' = df$ by Lemma 5.2. But black and white periods of f vanish, so f is well-defined on $V(\Lambda)$ and discrete harmonic by Proposition 6.1 (i). By discrete Liouville's Theorem 4.12, $\omega - \omega' = df = 0$. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let ω be a discrete differential of type $\diamondsuit$.*

(i) ω is discrete harmonic if and only if it can be decomposed as $\omega = \omega_1 + \bar{\omega}_2$, where ω_1, ω_2 are discrete holomorphic differentials.

(ii) ω is discrete holomorphic if and only if it can be decomposed as $\omega = \alpha + i \star \alpha$, where α is a discrete harmonic differential.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $\omega = \omega_1 + \bar{\omega}_2$, where ω_1, ω_2 are discrete holomorphic. Then, ω is closed since ω_1, ω_2 are, and it is co-closed since $d \star \omega_k = -id\omega_k = 0$ by Lemma 4.9. Thus, ω is discrete harmonic.

Conversely, let ω be discrete harmonic. Then, we can write $\omega = pdz_v + qd\bar{z}_v$ in a chart z_v around $v \in V(\Lambda)$, where p, q are complex functions on the faces incident to v. Define $\omega_1 := pdz_v$ and $\omega_2 := \bar{q}dz_v$ in the chart z_v. By Lemma 4.9, ω_1, ω_2 are well defined on the whole discrete Riemann surface as the projections of ω onto the $\pm i$-eigenspaces of $\star$.

Since ω is closed, $0 = d\omega|_{F_v} = \left(\partial_\diamondsuit q(v) - \bar{\partial}_\diamondsuit p(v)\right) \Omega_\Lambda^{z_v}$, so $\partial_\diamondsuit q(v) = \bar{\partial}_\diamondsuit p(v)$. Similarly, $d \star \omega|_{F_v} = 0$ implies $\partial_\diamondsuit q(v) = -\bar{\partial}_\diamondsuit p(v)$. Thus, $\bar{\partial}_\diamondsuit p(v) = 0 = \partial_\diamondsuit q(v)$, i.e., $p, \bar{q}$ are discrete holomorphic in v. It follows that ω_1, ω_2 are discrete holomorphic.

(ii) Suppose that $\omega = \alpha + i \star \alpha$. Then, $d\omega = 0$ because α is closed and co-closed. In addition, $\star\omega = \star\alpha - i\alpha = -i\omega$. By Lemma 4.9, ω is discrete holomorphic. Conversely, for discrete harmonic ω we define $\alpha := (\omega + \bar{\omega})/2$ that is discrete harmonic By (i) and satisfies $\omega = \alpha + i\star\alpha$ by construction. □

Corollary 6.5. *The complex vector space $\mathcal{H}$ of discrete holomorphic differentials has dimension $2g$.*

Proof. Using that $\omega_1 \wedge \star\bar{\omega}_2 = 0$ for discrete holomorphic differentials ω_1, ω_2, Lemma 6.4 implies that the space of discrete harmonic differentials H is a direct orthogonal sum of the spaces of discrete holomorphic and discrete antiholomorphic one-forms, $\mathcal{H}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$. Due to Theorem 6.3, $\dim H = 4g$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ are isomorphic, $\dim \mathcal{H} = 2g$. □

Remark. As for the space of discrete harmonic differentials, the dimension of $\mathcal{H}$ is twice as high as its classical counterpart due to the splitting of periods into black and white periods.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\omega \neq 0$ be a discrete holomorphic differential whose black and white periods are given by A_k^B, B_k^B and A_k^W, B_k^W, $1 \leq k \leq g$. Then,*

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(A_k^B \bar{B}_k^W + A_k^W \bar{B}_k^B\right)\right) < 0.$$

Proof. Since ω is discrete holomorphic, ω and $\bar{\omega}$ are closed. Thus, we can apply the discrete Riemann Bilinear Identity 5.3 to them:

$$\iint_{F(X)} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(A_k^B \bar{B}_k^W - B_k^B \bar{A}_k^W\right) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(A_k^W \bar{B}_k^B - B_k^W \bar{A}_k^B\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{g} i \operatorname{Im}\left(A_k^B \bar{B}_k^W + A_k^W \bar{B}_k^B\right).$$

On the other hand, $\omega \wedge \bar{\omega}$ vanishes on faces F_v of X corresponding to vertices $v \in V(\Lambda)$ and in a chart z_Q of $Q \in F(\Lambda)$, $\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} = |p|^2 \Omega_{\diamondsuit}^{z_Q}$ if $\omega|_{\partial F_Q} = p dz_Q$. Since $\omega \neq 0$, $p \neq 0$ for at least one Q and

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(A_k^B \bar{B}_k^W + A_k^W \bar{B}_k^B\right)\right) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\iint_{F(X)} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}\right) < 0. \qquad \square$$

Corollary 6.7. *Let ω be a discrete holomorphic differential.*

(i) If all black and white a-periods of ω vanish, then $\omega = 0$.

(ii) If all black and white periods of ω are real, then $\omega = 0$.

Proof. If all black and white a-periods vanish or all black and white periods of ω are real, then

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(A_k^B \bar{B}_k^W + A_k^W \bar{B}_k^B\right)\right) = 0.$$

In particular, $\omega = 0$ by Lemma 6.6. $\square$

Theorem 6.8. *Let (Σ, Λ, z) be a compact discrete Riemann surface of genus g.*

(i) For any $2g$ complex numbers A_k^B, A_k^W, $1 \leq k \leq g$, there exists exactly one discrete holomorphic differential ω with these black and white a-periods.

(ii) For any $4g$ real numbers $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_k^B\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(B_k^B\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(A_k^W\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(B_k^W\right)$, there exists exactly one discrete holomorphic differential ω such that its black and white periods have these real parts.

Proof. Consider the complex-linear map $P_1 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}^{2g}$ that assigns to each discrete holomorphic differential its black and white a-periods and the real-linear map $P_2 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}^{4g}$ that assigns to each discrete holomorphic differential the real parts of its black and white periods. By Corollary 6.7, P_1 and P_2 are injective. By Corollary 6.5, $\mathcal{H}$ has complex dimension $2g$, so P_1 and P_2 have to be surjective. $\square$

6.3 Discrete period matrices

Discrete period matrices in the special case of real weights ρ_Q were already studied by Mercat in [23, 25]. In [5], a proof of convergence of discrete period matrices to their continuous counterparts was given and the case of complex weights was sketched.

By Theorem 6.8, there exists exactly one discrete holomorphic differential with prescribed black and white a-periods. Having a limit of finer and finer quadrangulations of a Riemann surface in mind, it is natural to demand that black and white a-periods coincide.

Definition. The unique set of g discrete holomorphic differentials ω_k that satisfies for all $1 \leq j, k \leq g$ the equation $2\int_{Ba_j} \omega_k = 2\int_{Wa_j} \omega_k = \delta_{jk}$ is called *canonical*. The $g \times g$-matrix $(\Pi_{jk})_{j,k=1}^{g}$ with entries $\Pi_{jk} := \int_{b_j} \omega_k$ is the *discrete period matrix* of the discrete Riemann surface (Σ, Λ, z).

The definition of the discrete period matrix as the arithmetic mean of black and white periods was already given in [5], adapting Mercat's definition in [23, 25]. In our notation with discrete differentials defined on the medial graph it becomes clear why this is a natural choice. Still, it is reasonable to consider black and white periods separately to encode all possible information. We end up with the same matrices Mercat defined in [23, 25].

Definition. Let ω_k^B, $1 \leq k \leq g$, be the unique discrete holomorphic differential with black a_j-period δ_{jk} and vanishing white a-periods. Furthermore, let ω_k^W, $1 \leq k \leq g$, be the unique discrete holomorphic differential with white a_j-period δ_{jk} and vanishing black a-periods. The basis of these $2g$ discrete differentials is called the *canonical basis (of discrete holomorphic differentials).*

We define the $g \times g$-matrices $\Pi^{B,B}, \Pi^{W,B}, \Pi^{B,W}, \Pi^{W,W}$ with entries

$$\Pi_{jk}^{B,B} := 2\int_{Bb_j} \omega_k^B, \quad \Pi_{jk}^{W,B} := 2\int_{Wb_j} \omega_k^B, \quad \Pi_{jk}^{B,W} := 2\int_{Bb_j} \omega_k^W, \quad \Pi_{jk}^{W,W} := 2\int_{Wb_j} \omega_k^W.$$

The *complete discrete period matrix* is the $2g \times 2g$-matrix defined by

$$\tilde{\Pi} := \begin{pmatrix} \Pi^{B,W} & \Pi^{B,B} \\ \Pi^{W,W} & \Pi^{W,B} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark. Note that above, $\omega_k = \omega_k^W + \omega_k^B$ and consequently $\Pi = (\Pi^{B,W} + \Pi^{B,B} + \Pi^{W,W} + \Pi^{W,B})/2$.

Example. In the example of a bipartitely quadrangulated flat torus $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau)$ of modulus $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im}\tau > 0$, the classical period of the Riemann surface Σ is τ. In the discrete setup, dz is globally defined and discrete holomorphic. It follows that the discrete period Π equals the b-period of dz that is τ. Thus, discrete and smooth period coincide in this case.

Remark. Although the black and white a-periods of the canonical set of discrete holomorphic differentials coincide by definition, the black and white b-periods must not in general. A counterexample was given in [5], namely the bipartite quad-decomposition of a torus induced by the triangulation given by identifying opposite sides of the base of the side surface of a regular square pyramid and its dual.

Theorem 6.9. *Both the discrete period matrix* Π *and the complete discrete period matrix* $\tilde{\Pi}$ *are symmetric and their imaginary parts are positive definite.*

Proof. Let $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_g\}$ be the canonical set of discrete holomorphic differentials used to compute Π. By looking at the coordinate representations, $\omega_j \wedge \omega_k = 0$ for all j, k. Inserting this into the discrete Riemann Bilinear Identity 5.3, the periods of $\omega := \omega_j$ and $\omega' := \omega_k$ satisfy

$$0 = \sum_{l=1}^{g} \left(A_l^B B_l'^W - B_l^B A_l'^W \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{g} \left(A_l^W B_l'^B - B_l^W A_l'^B \right) = B_j'^W - B_k^B + B_j'^B - B_k^W = 2\Pi_{jk} - 2\Pi_{kj}.$$

Applying the same arguments to discrete differentials of the canonical basis $\{\omega_1^W, \ldots, \omega_g^W, \omega_1^B, \ldots, \omega_g^B\}$,

$$(\Pi^{B,B})^T = \Pi^{B,B} \text{ and } (\Pi^{W,W})^T = \Pi^{W,W}$$

if we apply the discrete Riemann Bilinear Identity 5.3 to all pairs ω_j^W, ω_k^W and ω_j^B, ω_k^B, respectively. Considering pairs ω_j^W, ω_k^B yields $(\Pi^{B,W})^T = \Pi^{W,B}$. Thus, Π and $\tilde{\Pi}$ are symmetric.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g)^T$ be a nonzero real column vector. Applying Lemma 6.6 to the discrete holomorphic differential $\omega := \sum_{k=1}^g \alpha_k \omega_k$ with black and white a_k-period α_k yields

$$0 > \operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\alpha_k \sum_{j=1}^{g} \alpha_j 2\bar{\Pi}_{kj}\right)\right) = -2\operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha^T \Pi \alpha\right).$$

Hence, $\operatorname{Im}(\Pi)$ is positive definite. Similarly, $\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{\Pi})$ is positive definite. □

Since black and white b-periods of a discrete holomorphic differential do not have to coincide even if their black and white a-periods do, not the discrete period matrices change similarly to the classical theory if another canonical homology basis is chosen, but the complete discrete period matrices do.

Proposition 6.10. *The complete discrete period matrices $\tilde{\Pi}$ and $\tilde{\Pi}'$ corresponding to the canonical homology bases $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a', b'\}$, respectively, are related by*

$$\tilde{\Pi}' = \left(\tilde{C} + \tilde{D}\tilde{\Pi}\right)\left(\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}\tilde{\Pi}\right)^{-1}.$$

Here, the $2g \times 2g$-matrices $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{D}$ are given by

$$\tilde{A} := \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{B} := \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{C} := \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{D} := \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let $\omega = (\omega_1^W, \dots, \omega_g^W, \omega_1^B, \dots, \omega_g^B)$ be the canonical basis of discrete holomorphic differentials corresponding to (a, b). Labeling the columns of the matrices by discrete differentials and their rows by first all white and then all black cycles we get

$$\int_{Wa', Ba'} \omega = \tilde{A} + \tilde{B}\tilde{\Pi}, \quad \int_{Wb', Bb'} \omega = \tilde{C} + \tilde{D}\tilde{\Pi}.$$

Thus, the canonical basis ω' corresponding to (a', b') is given by $\omega' = \omega\left(\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}\tilde{\Pi}\right)^{-1}$ and

$$\tilde{\Pi}' = \int_{Wb', Bb'} \omega' = \int_{Wb', Bb'} \omega\left(\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}\tilde{\Pi}\right)^{-1} = \left(\tilde{C} + \tilde{D}\tilde{\Pi}\right)\left(\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}\tilde{\Pi}\right)^{-1}.$$ □

7 Discrete theory of Abelian differentials

After introducing discrete Abelian differentials in Section 7.1 and discussing several properties of them, the aim of Section 7.2 is to state and prove the discrete Riemann-Roch Theorem 7.8. We conclude this chapter by discussing discrete Abel-Jacobi maps in Section 7.3. Throughout this section, we consider a compact discrete Riemann surface (Σ, Λ, z) of genus g. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ be a canonical basis of its homology, $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g\}$ the canonical set and $\{\omega_1^B, \omega_1^W \dots, \omega_g^B, \omega_g^W\}$ the canonical basis of discrete holomorphic differentials.

7.1 Discrete Abelian differentials

Definition. A discrete differential ω of type $\Diamond$ is said to be a *discrete Abelian differential*. For a vertex $v \in V(\Lambda)$ and corresponding face $F_v \in F(X)$, the *residue* of ω at v is defined as

$$\operatorname{res}_v(\omega) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial F_v} \omega.$$

Remark. By definition, the discrete integral of a discrete differential of type $\Diamond$ around a face F_Q corresponding to $Q \in V(\Diamond)$ is always zero. For this reason, a residue at faces $Q \in V(\Diamond)$ is not defined.

Proposition 7.1. *Discrete residue theorem: Let ω be a discrete Abelian differential. Then, the sum of all residues of ω at black vertices vanishes as well as the sum of all residues of ω at white vertices:*

$$\sum_{b \in V(\Gamma)} \operatorname{res}_b(\omega) = 0 = \sum_{w \in V(\Gamma^*)} \operatorname{res}_w(\omega).$$

Proof. Since ω is of type $\Diamond$, $\int_{[Q,b_-]} \omega = -\int_{[Q,b_+]} \omega$ if b_-, b_+ are two black vertices incident to a quadrilateral $Q \in F(\Lambda)$ and $[Q, b_-]$ and $[Q, b_+]$ are oriented in such a way that they go clockwise around F_Q. Equivalently, they are oriented in such a way that they go counterclockwise around F_{b_-} and F_{b_+}, respectively. It follows that the sum of all residues of ω at black vertices can be arranged in pairwise canceling contributions. Thus, the sum is zero. Similarly, $\sum_{w \in V(\Gamma^*)} \operatorname{res}_w(\omega) = 0$. □

Definition. Let ω be a discrete Abelian differential, $v \in V(\Lambda)$, $Q \in V(\Diamond)$, and F_Q the face of X corresponding to Q. If ω has a nonzero residue at v, then v is a *simple pole* of ω. If z_Q is a chart of Q and $\omega|_{\partial F_Q}$ is not of the form $p dz_Q$, $p \in \mathbb{C}$, then Q is a *double pole* of ω. If $\omega|_{\partial F_Q} = 0$, then Q is a *zero* of ω.

Remark. To say that quadrilaterals Q where $\omega \neq p dz_Q$ are double poles of ω is well motivated. In [2], the existence of functions K_Q on $V(\Lambda)$ that are discrete holomorphic at all but one fixed face $Q \in V(\Diamond)$ was shown. These functions appeared in the discrete Cauchy's integral formulae and model z^{-1} besides its asymptotics. Similarly, $\partial_\Lambda K_Q$ models $-z^{-2}$. Now, dK_Q should be like $-z^{-2}dz$, modeling a double pole at Q. By construction, dK_Q is a discrete Abelian differential that is of the form $p dz_{Q'}$ in any chart $z_{Q'}$ around a face $Q' \neq Q$. But in a chart z_Q, $dK_Q = p dz_Q + q d\bar{z}_Q$ with $q \neq 0$.

Definition. Let ω be a discrete Abelian differential. If ω is discrete holomorphic, then we say that ω is a *discrete Abelian differential of the first kind*. If ω is not discrete holomorphic, but all its residues vanish, then it is a *discrete Abelian differential of the second kind*. A discrete Abelian differential whose residues do not vanish identically is said to be a *discrete Abelian differential of the third kind*.

As in the classical setup, there exists a set of normalized discrete Abelian differentials with certain prescribed poles and residues that can be normalized such that their a-periods vanish. In the case of a Delaunay-Voronoi quadrangulation, the existence of corresponding normalized discrete Abelian integrals of the second or third kind was shown in [5]. Our proofs will be similar, but in addition, we obtain the existence of certain discrete Abelian differentials of the second kind as a corollary. The calculation of the b-periods of the normalized discrete Abelian integrals of the third kind is also new.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $v, v' \in V(\Gamma)$ or $v, v' \in V(\Gamma^*)$. Then, there exists a discrete Abelian differential of the third kind ω whose only poles are at v and v' and whose residues are $\operatorname{res}_\omega(v) = -\operatorname{res}_\omega(v') = 1$. Any two such discrete differentials differ just by a discrete holomorphic differential.*

Proof. Clearly, the difference of two discrete Abelian differentials of the third kind with equal residues and no double poles has no poles at all, so it is discrete holomorphic.

Let V be the vector space of all discrete Abelian differentials that have no double poles. For any $Q \in V(\diamondsuit) \cong F(\Lambda)$, we choose one chart z_Q. By definition, each $\omega \in V$ is of the form $p dz_Q$ at Q. Conversely, any function $p : V(\diamondsuit) \to \mathbb{C}$ defines by $p dz_Q$ a discrete Abelian differential that has no double poles. Thus, the complex dimension of V equals $|F(\Lambda)|$.

Now, let W be the image in $\mathbb{C}^{|V(\Lambda)|}$ of the linear map res that assigns to each $\omega \in V$ all its residues at vertices of Λ. By Proposition 7.1, the residues at all black points sum up to zero as well as all residues at white vertices. Thus, the complex dimension of W is at most $|V(\Lambda)| - 2$. Since Λ is a quad-decomposition, $|V(\Lambda)| - 2 = |F(\Lambda)| - 2g$. Therefore, the dimension of W is at most $|F(\Lambda)| - 2g$.

On the other hand, the dimension of W equals $|F(\Lambda)|$ minus the dimension of the kernel of the map res. But if $\omega \in V$ has vanishing residues, then it is discrete holomorphic. Due to Corollary 6.5, the space of discrete holomorphic differentials is $2g$-dimensional. For this reason, dim W even equals $|F(\Lambda)| - 2g = |V(\Lambda)| - 2$. In particular, we can find a discrete Abelian differential without double poles for any prescribed residues that sum up to zero at all black and at all white vertices. □

Corollary 7.3. *Given a quadrilateral $Q \in F(\Lambda)$ and a chart z_Q, there exists a unique discrete Abelian differential of the second kind that is of the form*

$$p dz_Q - \frac{\pi}{2\mathrm{area}(z_Q(F_Q))} d\bar{z}_Q$$

in the chart z_Q, that has no other poles, and whose black and white a-periods vanish. This discrete differential is denoted by ω_Q. Here, $\mathrm{area}(z_Q(F_Q))$ *denotes the Euclidean area of $z_Q(F_Q)$.*

Proof. Consider the discrete Abelian differential of the third kind ω that is given by the local representation $-\pi/\left(2\mathrm{area}(z_Q(F_Q))\right) d\bar{z}_Q$ at the four edges of F_Q and zero everywhere else. Its only poles other than Q are at the four vertices incident to Q and since ω is of type $\diamondsuit$, residues at opposite vertices are equal up to sign. Using Proposition 7.2 twice, we can find a discrete Abelian differential ω' that has no double poles and whose residues equal the residues of ω. To get vanishing black and white a-periods, Theorem 6.8 allows us to add a suitable discrete holomorphic differential ω'' such that $\omega_Q := \omega - \omega' + \omega''$ is what we are looking for. Since the difference of two such discrete differentials has vanishing black and white a-periods, uniqueness follows by Corollary 6.7. □

Remark. As in the classical case, ω_Q depends on the choice of the chart z_Q. In our setting, the coefficient of $d\bar{z}_Q$ of ω_Q equals $-\bar{\partial}_\Lambda K_Q(Q) = -\pi/\left(2\mathrm{area}(z_Q(F_Q))\right)$.

Lemma 7.4. *Let $Q \neq Q' \in F(\Lambda)$ and let $\omega_Q, \omega_{Q'}$ be the discrete Abelian differentials of the second kind corresponding to the charts $z_Q, z_{Q'}$. Define complex numbers α, β in such a way that $\omega_Q = \alpha dz_{Q'}$ on the four edges of $F_{Q'}$ and $\omega_{Q'} = \beta dz_Q$ on the four edges of F_Q. Then, $\alpha = \beta$.*

Proof. By definition, ω_Q and $\omega_{Q'}$ are closed discrete differentials whose black and white a-periods vanish. So by the discrete Riemann Bilinear Identity 5.3, $\iint_{F(X)} \omega_Q \wedge \omega_{Q'} = 0$. Since ω_Q and $\omega_{Q'}$ have no pole at a face of X corresponding to a quadrilateral $Q'' \neq Q, Q'$, $\left(\omega_Q \wedge \omega_{Q'}\right)|_{F_{Q''}} = 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \iint_{F(X)} \omega_Q \wedge \omega_{Q'} = -\iint_{F_Q} \omega_{Q'} \wedge \omega_Q + \iint_{F_{Q'}} \omega_Q \wedge \omega_{Q'} \\ &= \frac{\beta\pi}{2\mathrm{area}(z_Q(F_Q))} \iint_{F_Q} dz_Q \wedge d\bar{z}_Q - \frac{\alpha\pi}{2\mathrm{area}(z_{Q'}(F_{Q'}))} \iint_{F_{Q'}} dz_{Q'} \wedge d\bar{z}_{Q'} = -2\pi i(\beta - \alpha). \end{aligned}$$ □

Proposition 7.5. *Let $Q \in F(\Lambda)$ and let ω_Q be the discrete Abelian differential of the second kind corresponding to the chart z_Q. Suppose that $\omega_k|_{\partial F_Q} = \alpha_k dz_Q$ for $k = 1, \dots, g$. Then, $\int_{b_k} \omega_Q = 2\pi i \alpha_k$.*

Proof. In a chart $z_{Q'}$ of a face $Q' \neq Q$, ω_k and ω_Q are both of the form $p dz_{Q'}$, so $\omega_k \wedge \omega_Q$ vanishes at $F_{Q'}$. It follows from discrete Riemann Bilinear Identity 5.3 applied to ω_k and ω_Q that

$$\int_{b_k} \omega_Q = \int_{Wb_k} \omega_Q + \int_{Bb_k} \omega_Q = \iint_{F(X)} \omega_k \wedge \omega_Q = \frac{-\alpha_k \pi}{2\text{area}(z_Q(F_Q))} \iint_{F_Q} dz_Q \wedge d\bar{z}_Q = 2\pi i \alpha_k$$

since black and white a-periods of ω_Q vanish. □

Since discrete Abelian differentials of the third kind have residues, periods are not well-defined. However, periods of the discrete Abelian differentials constructed in Proposition 7.2 are defined modulo $2\pi i$. To normalize them, we think of a_k, b_k as given closed curves α'_k, β'_k on X.

Definition. Let α'_k, β'_k, $1 \leq k \leq g$, be cycles on X in the homotopy classes a_k, b_k. Let $v, v' \in V(\Gamma)$ or $v, v' \in V(\Gamma^*)$. Then, $\omega_{vv'}$ denotes the unique discrete Abelian differential whose integrals along α'_k, β'_k are zero, whose nonzero residues are $\text{res}_\omega(v) = -\text{res}_\omega(v') = 1$, and that has no further poles.

Definition. Let R be an oriented path on Γ or Γ^* and ω a discrete Abelian differential. To each oriented edge in R we choose one of the corresponding parallel edges of X and orient it the same. By R_X, we denote the resulting one-chain on X. Then, $\int_R \omega := 2\int_{R_X} \omega$.

Proposition 7.6. *Let $v, v' \in V(\Gamma)$ or $v, v' \in V(\Gamma^*)$. Suppose that the cycles α'_k, β'_k on X are homotopic to closed paths α_k, β_k on Σ cutting out a fundamental polygon with $4g$ vertices on the surface $\Sigma \backslash \{v, v'\}$. In addition, let R be an oriented path on Γ or Γ^* from v' to v that does not intersect any of the curves $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_g$. Then,*

$$\int_{b_k} \omega_{vv'} = 2\pi i \int_R \omega_k.$$

Proof. On the one hand, $\omega_k \wedge \omega_{vv'} = 0$ since both discrete Abelian differentials are of the form $p dz_Q$ in any chart z_Q. On the other hand, we can find a discrete holomorphic multi-valued function $f : V(\tilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $df = \omega_k$ by Lemma 5.2. Since d is a derivation by Theorem 4.7,

$$0 = \omega_k \wedge \omega_{vv'} = d(f\omega_{vv'}) - f d\omega_{vv'}$$

is true if $\omega_k, \omega_{vv'}$ are lifted to $\tilde{X}$. Now, choose a collection $\tilde{F}(X)$ of lifts of each face of X to $\tilde{X}$ such that the corresponding lifts $\tilde{v}$ and $\tilde{v}'$ of v and v' are connected by a lift of R in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ or $\tilde{\Gamma}^*$. It is not necessary that all faces of $\tilde{X}$ intersecting the lift of R are contained in $\tilde{F}(X)$. Due to discrete Stokes' Theorem 4.1, $d\omega_{vv'} = 0$ on all lifts of faces F_Q corresponding to $Q \in V(\Diamond)$ or faces $F_{v''}$ corresponding to a vertex $v'' \neq v, v'$. Using $\text{res}_\omega(v) = -\text{res}_\omega(v') = 1$, discrete Stokes' Theorem 4.1 gives

$$\int_{\partial \tilde{F}(X)} f\omega_{vv'} = \iint_{\tilde{F}(X)} d(f\omega_{vv'}) = \iint_{\tilde{F}(X)} f d\omega_{vv'} = 2\pi i \left(f(\tilde{v}) - f(\tilde{v}')\right) = 2\pi i \int_R \omega_k.$$

The left hand side can be calculated in exactly the same way as in the proof of the discrete Riemann Bilinear Identity 5.3. The only essential difference is that when we extend $\omega_{vv'}$ to a subdivision of lifts $\tilde{F}_v$ or $\tilde{F}_{v'}$, the extended one-form shall have zero residues at all new faces but one containing v or v', where it should remain 1 or -1. As a result, we obtain $\int_{b_k} \omega_{vv'}$, observing that almost all black and white a-periods of f and $\omega_{vv'}$ vanish. □

Remark. Results analogous to Propositions 7.6 and 7.6 are true for the black and white b-periods of ω_Q and $\omega_{vv'}$, replacing ω_k by ω_k^B or ω_k^W.

Proposition 7.7. *Let a chart z_Q to each $Q \in F(\Lambda)$ be given. Fix $b \in V(\Gamma)$ and $w \in V(\Gamma^*)$. Then, the normalized discrete Abelian differentials of the first kind ω_k^B and ω_k^W, $k = 1, \ldots, g$, of the second kind ω_Q, $Q \in F(\Lambda)$, and of the third kind $\omega_{bb'}$ and $\omega_{ww'}$, $b' \neq b$ being black and $w' \neq w$ being white vertices, form a basis of the space of discrete Abelian differentials.*

Proof. Linear independence is clear. Given any discrete Abelian differential, we can first use the ω_Q to eliminate all double poles. For the resulting discrete Abelian differential we can find linear combinations of $\omega_{bb'}$ and $\omega_{ww'}$ that have the same residues at black and white vertices, respectively. We end up with a discrete holomorphic differential that can be represented by the ω_k^B and ω_k^W. □

7.2 Divisors and the discrete Riemann-Roch Theorem

We generalize the notion of divisors on a compact discrete Riemann surface (Σ, Λ, z) of genus g and the discrete Riemann-Roch theorem given in [5] to general quad-decompositions. In addition, we define double poles of discrete Abelian differentials and double values of functions $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$.

Definition. A *divisor* D is a formal linear combination

$$D = \sum_{j=1}^{M} m_j v_j + \sum_{k=1}^{N} n_k Q_k,$$

where $m_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, $v_j \in V(\Lambda)$, $n_k \in \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$, and $Q_k \in V(\Diamond)$.
D is *admissible* if even $m_j \in \{-1, 0\}$ and $n_k \in \{-2, 0, 1\}$. Its *degree* is defined as

$$\deg D := \sum_{j=1}^{M} m_j + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \operatorname{sign}(n_k).$$

$D \geq D'$ if the formal sum $D - D'$ is a divisor whose coefficients are all nonnegative.

Remark. Note that double points just count once in the degree. The reason is that these points correspond to double values and not to double zeroes of a discrete meromorphic function. Concerning discrete Abelian differentials, a double pole does not include a simple pole and therefore counts once.

As noted in [5], divisors on a discrete Riemann surface do not form an Abelian group. One of the reasons is that the pointwise product of discrete holomorphic functions does not need to be discrete holomorphic itself, another one is the asymmetry of point spaces. Whereas discrete meromorphic functions will be defined on $V(\Lambda)$, discrete Abelian differentials are essentially defined by complex functions on $V(\Diamond)$, supposed that a chart for each quadrilateral is fixed.

Definition. Let $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$, $v \in V(\Lambda)$, and $Q \in F(\Lambda) \cong V(\Diamond)$. f is called *discrete meromorphic*.

- f has a *zero* at v if $f(v) = 0$.
- f has a *simple pole* at Q if df has a double pole at Q.
- f has a *double value* at Q if $df|_{\partial F_Q} = 0$.

If f has zeroes $v_1, \dots, v_M \in V(\Lambda)$, double values $Q_1, \dots, Q_N \in V(\diamondsuit)$, and poles $Q'_1, \dots, Q'_{N'} \in V(\diamondsuit)$, then its *divisor* is defined as

$$(f) := \sum_{j=1}^{M} v_j + \sum_{k=1}^{N} 2Q_k - \sum_{k'=1}^{N'} Q'_{k'}.$$

Remark. Note that in the smooth setting, a double value of a smooth function f is a point where $f - c$ has a double zero for some constant c. In the discrete setup, a double value implies that the values of the discrete function f at both black vertices coincide as well as at the two white vertices. In this sense, double values are separated from the points where the function is evaluated.

Definition. Let ω be a discrete Abelian differential. If ω has zeroes $Q_1, \dots, Q_N \in V(\diamondsuit)$, double poles at $Q'_1, \dots, Q'_{N'} \in V(\diamondsuit)$, and simple poles at $v_1, \dots, v_M \in V(\Lambda)$, then its *divisor* is defined as

$$(\omega) := \sum_{k=1}^{N} Q_k - \sum_{k'=1}^{N'} 2Q'_{k'} - \sum_{j=1}^{M} v_j.$$

Remark. In Section 3.2 we were able to merge several branch points to define one branch point of higher order. Unfortunately, the image of a discrete meromorphic function generally does not define a discrete Riemann surface, so the approach of merging poles to a pole of higher order does not carry over. Still, there might be a way to define poles and zeroes of higher order (for functions or discrete differentials) by investigating larger neighborhoods of vertices.

Definition. Let D be a divisor. By $L(D)$ we denote the complex vector space of discrete meromorphic functions f that vanish identically or whose divisor satisfies $(f) \geq D$. Similarly, $H(D)$ denotes the complex vector space of discrete Abelian differentials ω such that $\omega \equiv 0$ or $(\omega) \geq D$. The dimensions of these spaces are denoted by $l(D)$ and $i(D)$, respectively.

We are now able to formulate and prove the following *discrete Riemann-Roch theorem*.

Theorem 7.8. *If D is an admissible divisor on a compact discrete Riemann surface of genus g, then*

$$l(-D) = \deg D - 2g + 2 + i(D).$$

Proof. We write $D = D_0 - D_\infty$, where $D_0, D_\infty \geq 0$. Since D is admissible, D_0 is a sum of elements of $V(\diamondsuit)$, all coefficients being one. Let V_0 denote the set of $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$ such that $D_0 \geq Q$.

For each $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$, we fix a chart z_Q. As in Proposition 7.7, we denote the normalized Abelian differentials of the first kind by ω_k^B and ω_k^W, $k = 1, \dots, g$, these of the second kind by ω_Q, $Q \in V(\diamondsuit)$, and these of the third kind by $\omega_{bb'}$ and $\omega_{ww'}$, $b' \neq b$ being black and $w' \neq w$ being white vertices, $b, w \in V(\Lambda)$ fixed. Now, we investigate the image H of the discrete exterior derivative d on functions in $L(-D)$. H consists of discrete Abelian differentials and only biconstant functions are in the kernel.

Let $f \in L(-D)$. Then, df is a discrete Abelian differential that might have double poles at the points of D_0. In addition, all the residues and periods of df vanish. So since the discrete Abelian differentials above form a basis by Proposition 7.7,

$$df = \sum_{Q \in V_0} f_Q \omega_Q$$

for some complex numbers f_Q. Now, all black and white b-periods of df vanish. Using Proposition 7.5 and the remark at the end of Section 7.1 on the black and white b-periods of ω_Q,

$$\sum_{Q \in V_0} f_Q \alpha_k^B(Q) = 0 = \sum_{Q \in V_0} f_Q \alpha_k^W(Q),$$

where $\omega_k^B|_{\partial F_Q} = \alpha_k^B(Q)dz_Q$ and $\omega_k^W|_{\partial F_Q} = \alpha_k^W(Q)dz_Q$ for $k = 1, \ldots, g$.
In the chart $z_{Q'}$ of a face $Q' \neq Q$, ω_Q can be written as $\beta_Q(Q')dz_{Q'}$. So if $D_\infty \geq 2P$, $P \in V(\Diamond)$, then f has a double value at P and $df|_{\partial F_P} = 0$ for the corresponding face F_P of X. Due to Lemma 7.4,

$$0 = \sum_{Q \in V_0} f_Q \beta_Q(P) = \sum_{Q \in V_0} f_Q \beta_P(Q).$$

Suppose that $D_\infty \geq v + v'$, where $v, v' \in V(\Gamma)$ or $v, v' \in V(\Gamma^*)$. By definition, f has zeroes at v, v', so $f(v) = f(v')$. The last equality remains true when a biconstant function is added. This yields an additional restriction to H. Now, using discrete Stokes' Theorem 4.1, $d\omega_{vv'}$ equals $2\pi i$ when integrated over F_v, $-2\pi i$ when integrated over $F_{v'}$, and zero everywhere else. Also, it follows that $\iint_{F(X)} d\left(f\omega_{vv'}\right) = 0$. Writing $\omega_{vv'}|_{\partial F_Q} = \gamma_{vv'}(Q)dz_Q$, we observe that for $Q \in V(\Diamond)$ such that $D_0 \geq Q$,

$$\left(df \wedge \omega_{vv'}\right)|_{F_Q} = f_Q \gamma_{vv'}(Q) \frac{\pi}{2\mathrm{area}(z_Q(F_Q))} dz_Q \wedge d\bar{z}_Q,$$

and $df \wedge \omega_{vv'} = 0$ everywhere else. Using $d\left(f\omega_{vv'}\right) = f d\omega_{vv'} + df \wedge \omega_{vv'}$ by Theorem 4.7, we obtain

$$0 = 2\pi i \left(f(v) - f(v')\right) = \iint_{F(X)} f d\omega_{vv'} = \iint_{F(X)} d\left(f\omega_{vv'}\right) - \iint_{F(X)} df \wedge \omega_{vv'} = 2\pi i \sum_{Q \in V_0} f_Q \gamma_{vv'}(Q).$$

In the case that there are more than two black (or white) vertices v that satisfy $D_\infty \geq v$, we fix one such black (or white) vertex as b (or w). Denote by B_0 and W_0 the sets of these black and white vertices. Then, f is constant on B_0 and W_0 if and only if for any $b' \in B_0$, $b' \neq b$, and $w' \in W_0$, $w' \neq w$:

$$\sum_{Q \in V_0} f_Q \gamma_{bb'}(Q) = 0 = \sum_{Q \in V_0} f_Q \gamma_{ww'}(Q).$$

Consider the matrix M whose k-th column is the column vector $\left(\alpha_k^B(Q)\right)_{Q \in V_0}$, whose $(g+k)$-th column is the column vector $\left(\alpha_k^W(Q)\right)_{Q \in V_0}$, and whose next columns are the column vectors $\left(\beta_P(Q)\right)_{Q \in V_0}$, $P \in V(\Diamond)$ such that $D_\infty \geq 2P$. In the case that $|B_0| \geq 2$, we add the column vectors $\left(\gamma_{bb'}(Q)\right)_{Q \in V_0}$, $b' \in B_0$ different from b, to M, and if $|W_0| \geq 2$, then we additionally add the column vectors $\left(\gamma_{ww'}(Q)\right)_{Q \in V_0}$, $w' \in W_0$ different from w. By our consideration above, $\sum_{Q \in V_0} f_Q \omega_Q$ is in H only if the column vector $(f_Q)_{Q \in V_0}$ is in the kernel of M^T. Conversely, any element of the kernel is a closed discrete differential with vanishing periods, so it can be integrated to a discrete meromorphic function f using Lemma 5.2. f can have poles only at $Q \in V_0$, it is biconstant on $B_0 \cup W_0$, and it has double values at all $P \in V(\Diamond)$ such that $D_\infty \geq 2P$. Hence, H is isomorphic to the kernel of M^T. We obtain

$$\dim H = \dim \ker M^T = |V_0| - \mathrm{rank}\ M^T = \deg D_0 - \mathrm{rank}\ M.$$

Let us first suppose that B_0 and W_0 are both nonempty. This means that at least one zero of f at a black and one at a white vertex is fixed. Therefore, $d : L(-D) \to H$ has a trivial kernel and $l(-D) = \dim H$. In addition, $\mathrm{rank}\ M = 2g + \deg D_\infty - 2 - \dim \ker M$. But with complex numbers λ_j, the kernel of M consists of discrete Abelian differentials

$$\omega = \sum_{k=1}^{g} \left(\lambda_k \omega_k^B + \lambda_{k+g} \omega_k^W\right) + \sum_{P : D_\infty \geq 2P} \lambda_P \omega_P + \sum_{b' \in B_0, b' \neq b} \lambda_{b'} \omega_{bb'} + \sum_{w' \in W_0, w' \neq w} \lambda_{w'} \omega_{ww'}$$

such that $\omega|_{\partial F_Q} = 0$ for any $Q \in V_0$, so the kernel is exactly $H(D)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} l(-D) = \dim H &= \deg D_0 - \operatorname{rank} M \\ &= \deg D_0 - 2g - \deg D_\infty + 2 + \dim \ker M = \deg D - 2g + 2 + i(D). \end{aligned}$$

If B_0 or W_0 is empty, then we can add an additive constant to all values of f at black or white vertices, respectively, still getting an element of $L(-D)$. Thus, the kernel of $d : L(-D) \to H$ is one- or even two-dimensional, when both B_0 and W_0 are empty. But rank M is now $2g + \deg D_\infty - x - \dim \ker M$ with $x = 1$ or x_0. Again, we get $l(-D) = \deg D - 2g + 2 + i(D)$. □

Remark. The difference between the classical and the discrete Riemann-Roch theorem is explained by the fact that Λ is bipartite: The space of constant functions is no longer one- but two-dimensional; instead of just g a-periods of Abelian differentials we have $2g$, namely black and white.

Let us just state the following corollary for a quadrangulated flat torus that was already mentioned in [5]. The proof is a consequence of the discrete Riemann-Roch Theorem 7.8 and the fact that dz is a discrete holomorphic differential on the torus. For the second part, one uses the decomposition of a function into real and imaginary part. For details, see the thesis [18].

Corollary 7.9. *Let $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau)$ be bipartitely quadrangulated and $\operatorname{Im}\tau > 0$.*

(i) There exists no discrete meromorphic function with exactly one simple pole.

(ii) Suppose that in addition the diagonals of all quadrilaterals are orthogonal to each other. Then, there exists a discrete meromorphic function with exactly two simple poles at $Q, Q' \in V(\Diamond)$ if and only if the black diagonals of Q, Q' are parallel to each other.

The first part of Corollary 7.9 does not remain true if we consider general discrete Riemann surfaces:

Proposition 7.10. *For any $g \geq 0$, there exists a compact discrete Riemann surface of genus g such that there exists a discrete meromorphic function $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$ that has exactly one simple pole.*

Proof. We start with any compact discrete Riemann surface (Σ', Λ', z') of genus g and pick one quadrilateral $Q' \in V(\Diamond')$. Now, Q' is combinatorially replaced by the five quadrilaterals of Figure 4. We define the discrete complex structure of the central quadrilateral Q by the complex number ϱ_1 and the discrete complex structure of the four neighboring quadrilaterals Q_k by ϱ_2, $\operatorname{Re}(\varrho_k) > 0$. Clearly, this construction yields a new compact discrete Riemann surface (Σ, Λ, z) of genus g.



Figure 4: Replacement of chosen quadrilateral by five new quadrilaterals

For a complex number $x \neq 0$, consider the function $f : V(\Lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$ that fulfills $f(b_-) = x = -f(b_+)$, $f(w_+) = i\varrho_2 x = -f(w_-)$, and $f(v) = 0$ for all other vertices. Then, f is a discrete meromorphic function that has exactly one simple pole, namely at Q. □

7.3 Discrete Abel-Jacobi maps

Due to the fact that black and white periods of discrete holomorphic one-forms do not have to coincide, we cannot define a discrete Abel-Jacobi map on all of $V(\Lambda)$ and $V(\diamondsuit)$. However, by either restricting to black vertices (and faces) or white vertices (and faces) or considering the universal covering of the compact discrete Riemann surface (Σ, Λ, z) of genus g, we get reasonable discretizations of the Abel-Jacobi map.

Definition. Let ω denote the column vector with entries ω_k, $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g\}$ being the canonical set of discrete holomorphic differentials. The $g \times g$-matrices Π^B and Π^W with entries $\Pi^B_{jk} := 2\int_{Bb_j} \omega_k$ and $\Pi^W_{jk} := 2\int_{Wb_j} \omega_k$ are called the *black* and *white period matrix*, respectively. Let L denote the lattice $L := \{Im + \Pi n | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^g\}$, where I is the $g \times g$-identity matrix. Similarly, the lattices L^B and L^W with Π^B and Π^W instead of Π are defined. Then, the complex tori $\mathcal{J} := \mathbb{C}^g/L$, $\mathcal{J}^B := \mathbb{C}^g/L^B$, and $\mathcal{J}^W := \mathbb{C}^g/L^W$ are the *discrete*, the *black*, and the *white Jacobian variety*, respectively.

Remark. In the notation of Section 6.3, $\Pi^B = \Pi^{B,W} + \Pi^{B,B}$ and $\Pi^W = \Pi^{W,W} + \Pi^{W,B}$.

Definition. Let $\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}' \in F(\tilde{\Lambda})$, $v \in V(\tilde{\Gamma})$, $v' \in V(\tilde{\Gamma}^*)$. Let R be an oriented path on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ connecting a black vertex $b \sim \tilde{Q}$ with v, and let d be an edge of $\tilde{X}$ parallel to the black diagonal of $\tilde{Q}$ oriented toward b. Lifting the discrete differentials of ω to the universal covering $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{\Lambda}, p \circ z)$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{Q}}(v) := \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^B_{\tilde{Q}}(v) := \int_{\tilde{Q}}^{v} \omega := \int_d \omega + \int_{R_X} \omega.$$

Similarly, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{Q}}(v') := \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^W_{\tilde{Q}}(v') := \int_{\tilde{Q}}^{v'} \omega$ is defined if $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is replaced by $\tilde{\Gamma}^*$. Furthermore, we define $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^B_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{Q}') := \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^B_{\tilde{Q}}(b) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^B_{\tilde{Q}'}(b)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^W_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{Q}') := \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^W_{\tilde{Q}}(w) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^W_{\tilde{Q}'}(W)$ for w a white vertex incident to $\tilde{Q}$.

Remark. Since all ω_k are closed, the above definitions do not depend on the choice of paths. Furthermore, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^B_{\tilde{Q}} : V(\tilde{\Gamma}) \cup F(\tilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathbb{C}^g$ and $\mathcal{A}^W_{\tilde{Q}} : V(\tilde{\Gamma}^*) \cup F(\tilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathbb{C}^g$ actually project to well-defined maps $\mathcal{A}^B_Q : V(\Gamma) \cup V(\diamondsuit) \to \mathcal{J}^B$ and $\mathcal{A}^W_Q : V(\Gamma^*) \cup V(\diamondsuit) \to \mathcal{J}^W$ for $Q := p(\tilde{Q})$. These *black* and *white Abel-Jacobi maps* discretize the Abel-Jacobi map at least for divisors that do not include white or black vertices, respectively. Clearly, they do not depend on the base point Q for divisors of degree 0.

$\tilde{Q}$ can be connected with another $\tilde{Q}' \in F(\tilde{\Lambda})$ in a more symmetric way that does not depend on a choice of either black or white, using the medial graph.

Definition. Let $\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}' \in F(\tilde{\Lambda})$. Let x be a vertex of the face $F_{\tilde{Q}} \in F(\tilde{X})$ corresponding to $\tilde{Q}$ and $e_{\tilde{Q}}, e'_{\tilde{Q}}$ the two oriented edges of $F_{\tilde{Q}}$ pointing to x. We lift the discrete differentials of ω to the universal covering $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{\Lambda}, p \circ z)$. Defining $\int_{\tilde{Q}}^{x} \omega := \int_e \omega/2 + \int_{e'} \omega/2$ and similarly $\int_{\tilde{Q}'}^{x'} \omega$ for a vertex x' of $F_{\tilde{Q}'}$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{Q}') := \int_{\tilde{Q}}^{\tilde{Q}'} \omega := \int_{\tilde{Q}}^{x} \omega + \int_{x}^{x'} \omega - \int_{\tilde{Q}'}^{x'} \omega.$$

Remark. Clearly, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{Q}')$ is well-defined and does not depend on x, x'. In Figure 3, we described how a closed path on the medial graph induces closed paths on the black and white subgraph. Similarly, a "path" connecting $\tilde{Q}$ with $\tilde{Q}'$ as above induces two other paths connecting both faces, a black path just using edges of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and a white path just using edges of $\tilde{\Gamma}^*$ (and half of a diagonal each). This construction shows that $2\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{Q}') = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^B_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{Q}') + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^W_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{Q}')$.

Thus, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{Q}}$ defines a *discrete Abel-Jacobi map* on the divisors of the universal covering $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{\Lambda}, p \circ z)$ and it does not depend on the choice of base point $\tilde{Q}$ for divisors of degree 0 that contain as many black as white vertices (counted with sign).

Proposition 7.11. *$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{Q}}|_{V(\tilde{\Lambda})}$ is discrete holomorphic in each component.*

Proof. Let $Q \in F(\Lambda)$ and z_Q a chart of Q. Then, $\omega_k|_{\partial F_Q} = p_k dz_Q$ for some complex numbers p_k. If b_-, w_-, b_+, w_+ denote the vertices of Q in counterclockwise order, starting with a black vertex, then

$$\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{Q}}(b_+) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{Q}}(b_-)\right)_k = p_k(z_Q(b_+) - z_Q(b_-)),$$
$$\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{Q}}(w_+) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{Q}}(w_-)\right)_k = p_k(z_Q(w_+) - z_Q(w_-)).$$

Thus, the discrete Cauchy-Riemann equation is fulfilled. □

Remark. In such a chart z_Q, $\left(\partial_\Lambda \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{Q}}\right)(Q) = p$, exactly as in the smooth case. In particular, the discrete Abel-Jacobi map is an injection unless there is $Q \in V(\Diamond)$ such that all discrete holomorphic differentials vanish at Q. By the discrete Riemann-Roch Theorem 7.8, this would imply that there exists a discrete meromorphic function with exactly one simple pole at Q. In contrast to the classical theory, this could happen for any genus g due to Proposition 7.10.

Acknowledgment

The first author was partially supported by the DFG Collaborative Research Center TRR 109, "Discretization in Geometry and Dynamics". The research of the second author was supported by the Deutsche Telekom Stiftung. Some parts of this paper were written at the IHÉS in Bures-sur-Yvette, the INIMS in Cambridge, and the ESI in Vienna. The second author thanks the EPDI program for the opportunity to stay at these institutes. The stay at the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences was funded through an Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council Visiting Fellowship, Grant EP/K032208/1.

References

[1] M. Baker and S. Norine. Riemann-Roch and Abel-Jacobi theory on a finite graph. *Adv. Math.*, 215(2):766–788, 2007.

[2] A.I. Bobenko and F. Günther. Discrete complex analysis on planar quad-graphs. Preprint arXiv:1505.05673. To appear in A.I. Bobenko, editor, *Advances in Discrete Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 2016.

[3] A.I. Bobenko, C. Mercat, and Yu.B. Suris. Linear and nonlinear theories of discrete analytic functions. Integrable structure and isomonodromic Green's function. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 583:117–161, 2005.

[4] A.I. Bobenko, U. Pinkall, and B. Springborn. Discrete conformal maps and ideal hyperbolic polyhedra. *Geom. Top.*, 19:2155–2215, 2015.

[5] A.I. Bobenko and M. Skopenkov. Discrete Riemann surfaces: Linear discretization and its convergence. *J. reine angew. Math.*, Ahead of Print(DOI 10.1515/crelle-2014-0065), 2014.

[6] J.-B. Bost. Introduction to Compact Riemann Surfaces, Jacobians, and Abelian Varieties. In M. Waldschmidt, P. Moussa, J.-M. Luck, and C. Itzykson, editors, *From Number Theory to Physics*, pages 64–211. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1992.

[7] U. Bücking. Approximation of conformal mappings by circle patterns. *Geom. Dedicata*, 137:163–197, 2008.

[8] D. Chelkak and S. Smirnov. Discrete complex analysis on isoradial graphs. *Adv. Math.*, 228:1590–1630, 2011.

[9] D. Chelkak and S. Smirnov. Universality in the 2D Ising model and conformal invariance of fermionic observables. *Invent. Math.*, 189(3):515–580, 2012.

[10] D. Cimasoni. Discrete Dirac operators on Riemann surfaces and Kasteleyn matrices. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 14:1209–1244, 2012.

[11] D. Cimasoni. Kac-Ward operators, Kasteleyn operators, and s-holomorphicity on arbitrary surface graphs. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré D*, 2(2):113–168, 2015.

[12] R. Courant, K. Friedrichs, and H. Lewy. Über die partiellen Differentialgleichungen der mathematischen Physik. *Math. Ann.*, 100:32–74, 1928.

[13] R.J. Duffin. Basic properties of discrete analytic functions. *Duke Math. J.*, 23(2):335–363, 1956.

[14] R.J. Duffin. Potential theory on a rhombic lattice. *J. Comb. Th.*, 5:258–272, 1968.

[15] I.A. Dynnikov and S.P. Novikov. Geometry of the triangle equation on two-manifolds. *Moscow Math. J.*, 3(2):419–482, 2003.

[16] H.M. Farkas and I. Kra. *Riemann Surfaces*, volume 71 of *Grad. Texts in Math.* Springer, New York, 1980.

[17] J. Ferrand. Fonctions préharmoniques et fonctions préholomorphes. *Bull. Sci. Math. Ser. 2*, 68:152–180, 1944.

[18] F. Günther. *Discrete Riemann surfaces and integrable systems*. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, September 2014. `http://opus4.kobv.de/opus4-tuberlin/files/5659/guenther_felix.pdf`.

[19] R.Ph. Isaacs. A finite difference function theory. *Univ. Nac. Tucumán. Rev. A*, 2:177–201, 1941.

[20] R. Kenyon. Conformal invariance of domino tiling. *Ann. Probab.*, 28(2):759–795, 2002.

[21] R. Kenyon. The Laplacian and Dirac operators on critical planar graphs. *Invent. math.*, 150:409–439, 2002.

[22] J. Lelong-Ferrand. *Représentation conforme et transformations à intégrale de Dirichlet bornée*. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1955.

[23] C. Mercat. Discrete period matrices and related topics. arXiv:math-ph/0111043, 2001.

[24] C. Mercat. Discrete Riemann surfaces and the Ising model. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 218(1):177–216, 2001.

[25] C. Mercat. Discrete Riemann surfaces. In *Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Vol. I*, volume 11 of *IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys.*, pages 541–575, Zurich, 2007. Eur. Math. Soc.

[26] C. Mercat. Discrete complex structure on surfel surfaces. In *Proceedings of the 14th IAPR international conference on Discrete geometry for computer imagery*, DGCI'08, pages 153–164, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008. Springer-Verlag.

[27] B. Rodin and D. Sullivan. The convergence of circle packings to the Riemann mapping. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 26(2):349–360, 1987.

[28] S. Smirnov. Discrete complex analysis and probability. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians 2010 (ICM 2010)*, Vol. I: Plenary Lectures and Ceremonies, Vols. II-IV: Invited Lectures, pages 595–621, New Delhi, India, 200. Hindustan Book Agency.

[29] S. Smirnov. Critical percolation in the plane: Conformal invariance, Cardys formula, scaling limits. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I*, 333(3):239–244, 2001.

[30] S. Smirnov. Conformal invariance in random cluster models. I. Holomorphic fermions in the Ising model. *Ann. Math.*, 172(2):1435–1467, 2010.

[31] K. Stephenson. *Introduction to circle packing: The theory of discrete analytic functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[32] S.O. Wilson. Conformal cochains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 360(10):5247–5264, 2008.